INTRODUCTION

The latest routine international evaluation for calving traits took place

as scheduled at the Interbull Centre. Data from seventeen (17) countries were included in this evaluation.

International genetic evaluations for calving traits of bulls from Australia, Austria-Germany, Belgium, Canada, Denmark-Finland-Sweden, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, Slovack Republic and the United States of America were computed. Brown Swiss, Holstein, and Red Dairy Cattle breed data were included in this evaluation.

CHANGES IN NATIONAL PROCEDURES

Changes in the national genetic evaluation of calving traits are as

NOR (RDC) Calvings involving sires and dams from HOL, BSW and SIM are no longer extracted causing drops in information. Genetic groups are removed from the model, whereas inbreeding is being included.

This time we have changed to a rolling cow base, based on cows born 3 to 8 years ago. Std is based on bulls born 5 to 12 years ago, but the limits will be updated only every second year. The rolling definition of hys is causing the daughters to distribute somewhat differently over hys-classes at each evaluation.

IRL (HOL, RDC) Previously there were edits around minimum amount of variation required within contempory groups which had no variation (e.g. all phenotypes were 'easy calving'). USA (ALL) Base change

NLD (ALL) Base change, now the cows born in 2015 are the base (it was 2010) AUS (ALL) Changed the method for calculation of reliabilities

Some change in type of proof due to changes in pedigree information and the fact that the program that determines the type of proof for bulls is based on pedigree information BEL (HOL) ISR (HOL)

Small decrease in information due to pedigree corrections.

Base change plus cut off of 1 year of data causing decreases in information. ITA (HOL)

DEA (BSW)

Base change, small drops in information due to manual editings, BSW: few bulls missing in this evaluation due to change in status of bulls.

Base change. Performance data: phenotypic data from 2000 onwards (used to be 1990 before) Pedigree: sire and maternal grandsire of animals having own performance must be known. Breed: breed of animal DEU (ALL)

having own performance must be consistent with the breeds of parents, some bulls have lost daughters (and herds) due to data editing

NID (ALL) Base change

CAN (ALL) Base change

GBR (ALL) Drops in daughters due to minor data editings. For RDC some daughters of these bulls were duplicated with some of them having eartag numbers and herd book numbers. Data providers have now correctly eliminated Pedigree corrections and herd-year minimum edits causing drops in information. Calving ease: update of the genetic parameters. The variance components have been re-estimated using 3 samples and their weighted USA (ALL)

averages used in the EBV estimation. Exclusion of any herds with ge 95% of easy calvings (scoring only 1) exclusion of calvings before 1990 use of score 4 for scores ge 4 estimation of the year of birth

This edit

of any missing MGS using the daughterâ s year of birth (dam of the calf) Stillbirth: exclusion of parity in the definition of random HYS groups Inclusion of the interaction of

Parity-Sex-Year of birth of Sire and MGS

AUS (ALL) Changed the method for calculation of reliabilities, Pedigree corrections based on genotype information has caused drops in information

INTERBULL CHANGES COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS ROUTINE RUN

Subsetting: _____

CHE (ALL)

As decided by the ITC in Orlando, new subsetting was introduced in the september test run. Sub-setting is necessary for operational purposes and restrictions of time scales. To minimize the effect of subsetting, larger subsets with 10-12 countries and with 4 link providing countries have been applied.

Window:

According to the decision taken by ITC in Orlando, the following changes have been introduced in regards to the windows used for post processing:

The upper bounds have been set to 0.99 as these were judged to have very little effect on evaluations. The lower values have been set to about the 25% percentile value. The largest changes are for the lower values for conformation traits, with the lowest window being 40% for OFL otherwise it is about 50% for all other confirmation traits. It is anticipated that these low values may not have large impact on evaluations since there were very few countries combinations whose

estimated correlations fell between the old limit of 0.30 and these new limits.

The window so far applied for MAS evaluation have been found too high compared to the within-country genetic correlation between mastitis and SCS available from the literature.

It has been an ITC recommendation to adjust the windows for MAS in this test run to make them more in line with the values available from the literature. The recommendation has been approved by the Steering committee.

Also, according to the decision taken by ITC in Orlando (2015) to review all windows every five (5) years, an overall review of the windows for all traits will take place during the first half of 2020 with the aim of implementation set for the September 2020 test run.

DATA AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Data were national genetic evaluations of AI sampled bulls with at least 10 daughters or 10 EDC (for clinical mastitis and maternal calving traits at least 50 daughters or 50 EDC, and for direct calving traits at least 50 calvings or 50 EDC) in at least 10 herds. Table 1 presents the amount of data included in this Interbull evaluation for all breeds.

National proofs were first de-regressed within country and then analysed jointly with a linear model including the effects of evaluation country, genetic group of bull and bull merit. Heritability estimates used in both the de-regression and international evaluation were as in each country's national evaluation.

Table 2 presents the date of evaluation as supplied by each country

Estimated genetic parameters and sire standard deviations are shown in APPENDIX I and the corresponding number of common bulls are listed in APPENDIX II.

SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE

The international genetic evaluation procedure is based on international work described in the following scientific publications:

International genetic evaluation computation: Schaeffer. 1994. J. Dairy Sci. 77:2671-2678 Klei, 1998. Interbull Bulletin 17:3-7

Verification and Genetic trend validation: Klei et al., 2002. Interbull Bulletin 29:178-182. Boichard et al., 1995. J. Dairy Sci. 78:431-437

Weighting factors:
Fikse and Banos, 2001. J. Dairy Sci. 84:1759-1767

De-regression:
Sigurdsson and G. Banos. 1995. Acta Agric. Scand. 45:207-219
Jairath et al. 1998. J. Dairy Sci. Vol. 81:550-562

Genetic parameter estimation: Klei and Weigel, 1998, Interbull Bulletin 17:8-14 Sullivan, 1999. Interbull Bulletin 22:146-148

Post-processing of estimated genetic correlations:

Mark et al., 2003, Interbull Bulletin 30:126-135

Jorjani et al., 2003. J. Dairy Sci. 86:677-679

https://wiki.interbull.org/public/rG%20procedure?action=print

Time edits
Weigel and Banos. 1997. J. Dairy Sci. 80:3425-3430

International reliability estimation Harris and Johnson. 1998. Interbull Bulletin 17:31-36

NEXT ROUTINE INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for the next routine evaluation can be found on

http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar.

NEXT TEST INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for the next test run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar.

PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL ROUTINE RUN

Results were distributed by the Interbull Centre to designated representatives in each country. The international evaluation file comprised international proofs expressed on the base and unit of each country included in the analysis. Such records readily provide more information on bull performance in various countries, thereby minimizing the need to resort to conversions.

At the same time, all recipients of Interbull results are expected to honor the agreed code of practice, decided by the Interbull Steering Committee, and only publish international evaluations on their own country scale. Evaluations expressed on another country scale are confidential and may only be used internally for research and review purposes.

PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL TEST RUN

Test evaluation results are meant for review purposes only and should not be published.

^LTable 1. National evaluation data considered in the Interbull evaluation for calving (April Routine Evaluation 2020). Number of records for direct calving ease by breed

Country	BSW	GUE	HOL	JER	RDC	SIM
AUS			5536			
BEL			1075			
CAN	158		12625		497	
CHE	1929		2308			
CZE						
DEA	5366					
DEU			19041		260	
DFS			10286		6377	
ESP			1997			
EST						
FRA	342		12398			
FRM						
GBR			2780			
HUN			1747		- 4	
IRL			1981		54	
ISR			469			
ITA			9542			
JPN						
KOR						
LTU LVA						
NLD	140		14668		66	
NOR	140		14000		3769	
NZL			7541		1107	
			, 5 11		110,	

POL PRT SVK SVN URY USA ZAF HRV MEX CAM =====		485 ======= 8420	=====	======	35344 35344 140008	=======	-=====	 1	======= .2130		===						
Pub.	Proofs	8765 		0	125257 	· · — — — — — — —	0	1 	.2637 		0						
^LAPP	ENDIX I. S	ire stand	dard dev	iations i	n diagon	al and g	genetic c	correlati	ons belo	w diagor	nal						
BSW	dce																
DEA	DEA 9.79	NLD	USA	CHE	CAN	FRA				-							
NLD	0.91	5.93															
USA	0.78	0.87	0.13 0.88	10 10													
CHE CAN	0.92 0.85	0.96 0.96	0.88	12.19 0.96	7.45												
FRA	0.80	0.90	0.87	0.86	0.89	0.75											
 BSW	mce																
	DEA	NLD	USA	CHE	CAN	FRA											
DEA	10.88	E 00															
NLD USA	0.65 0.85	5.09 0.76	0.15														
CHE	0.88	0.76		16.03	C 11												
CAN FRA	0.60 0.89	0.81 0.78	0.87 0.93	0.75 0.96	6.11 0.84	0.98											
 HOL	dce																
	AUS	CAN	CHE	 DFS	 FRA	ISR	 ITA	NLD	USA	 GBR	HUN	DEU	BEL	IRL	NZL	SVK	ESP
AUS	0.05		0112	212		_~-			0211	321		220				~ 111	_2_
CAN CHE	0.78 0.81	6.65 0.96	10.29														
DFS	0.77	0.92	0.89	11.71													
FRA	0.81 0.79	0.96 0.90	0.96 0.86	0.90 0.89	0.92 0.88	2 02											
ISR ITA	0.79	0.90	0.88	0.89	0.88	2.83 0.78	7.26										
NLD	0.86	0.97	0.95	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.77	7.16	0 10								
USA GBR	0.76 0.75	0.91 0.80	0.92 0.78	0.87 0.77	0.92 0.77	0.83 0.82	0.77 0.76	0.87 0.85	0.13 0.77	0.07							
HUN	0.70	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.76	0.78	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	1.23						
DEU BEL	0.82 0.70	0.92 0.77	0.90 0.77	0.89 0.76	0.93 0.76	0.84 0.78	0.76 0.76	0.92 0.77	0.87 0.77	0.78 0.76	0.76 0.77	12.86 0.76	9.78				
IRL	0.77	0.89	0.91	0.86	0.90	0.90	0.77	0.92	0.82	0.77	0.77	0.83	0.77	0.10			
NZL SVK	0.80 0.71	0.79 0.77	0.80 0.78	0.79 0.77	0.77 0.77	0.78 0.78	0.76 0.77	0.81 0.77	0.77 0.77	0.77 0.78	0.77 0.77	0.77 0.77	0.76 0.78	0.81 0.77	2.99 0.78	10 70	
ESP	0.71	0.77	0.78	0.77	0.77	0.78	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.78	0.77	0.77	0.78	0.77	0.78	12.73 0.78	11.19
 HOL	mce																
													Q1.777	EGD			

CAN CHE DFS FRA ISR ITA NLD USA GBR HUN DEU BEL SVK ESP

CAN CHE DFS FRA ISR ITA NLD USA GBR HUN DEU BEL SVK ESP	6.64 0.88 0.83 0.92 0.82 0.80 0.83 0.92 0.64 0.55 0.83 0.68	13.49 0.70 0.97 0.72 0.86 0.77 0.93 0.77 0.56 0.74 0.70 0.57 0.66	12.14 0.77 0.81 0.62 0.85 0.78 0.56 0.55 0.91 0.71 0.56 0.66	1.29 0.77 0.85 0.80 0.95 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.76	2.65 0.75 0.70 0.87 0.60 0.59 0.76 0.62 0.63 0.69	9.33 0.58 0.86 0.63 0.55 0.67 0.62 0.56 0.61	5.45 0.78 0.57 0.55 0.84 0.78 0.56 0.67	0.15 0.68 0.55 0.79 0.68 0.56 0.67	0.04 0.56 0.58 0.57 0.57	1.25 0.55 0.56 0.56 0.56	12.58 0.75 0.55 0.68	10.64 0.57 0.64	16.03 0.57	12.38
HOL	dsb													
AUS CAN CHE DFS	AUS 0.05 0.64 0.44 0.71	CAN 7.37 0.60 0.87	CHE 16.85 0.56	DFS 12.63	FRA	ISR	ITA	NLD	USA	HUN	DEU			
FRA ISR ITA NLD USA HUN	0.49 0.83 0.64 0.43 0.43	0.75 0.73 0.56 0.79 0.47 0.52	0.63 0.44 0.36 0.72 0.61 0.37	0.66 0.76 0.59 0.71 0.39 0.53	0.76 0.51 0.41 0.68 0.54 0.36	1.74 0.69 0.52 0.37 0.68	7.25 0.35 0.35 0.53	4.46 0.55 0.36	0.07 0.36	1.10				
DEU	0.51	0.85	0.63	0.83	0.66	0.69	0.45	0.79	0.54	0.43	12.49			
HOL	msb													
	CAN	CHE	DFS	FRA	ISR	ITA	NLD	USA	HUN	DEU				
CAN CHE DFS FRA	6.20 0.84 0.95 0.88	19.91 0.82 0.84	11.61 0.86	0.93										
ISR ITA NLD USA	0.90 0.53 0.93 0.82	0.81 0.60 0.75 0.80	0.86 0.53 0.95 0.81	0.79 0.55 0.81 0.78	1.75 0.64 0.82 0.73	9.34 0.53 0.53	4.20 0.78	0.13						
HUN DEU	0.54 0.95	0.54 0.85	0.53 0.97	0.53 0.84	0.53 0.88	0.47 0.53	0.53 0.95	0.53 0.81	1.22	12.84				
DEO	0.95	0.65	0.97	0.04	0.00	0.55	0.95	0.61	0.55	12.04				
RDC	dce													
CAN DFS NOR	CAN 6.52 0.93 0.90	DFS 11.35 0.95	NOR 13.67	NLD	DEU	IRL	NZL							
NLD DEU	0.96 0.92	0.92 0.90	0.93 0.94	4.68 0.92	14.00									
IRL	0.87	0.85	0.93	0.90	0.82	0.07								
NZL	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.83	0.79	0.81	2.78							
RDC	mce													
	CAN	DFS	NOR	DEU										
CAN DFS	7.07 0.81	12.17												
NOR DEU	0.81	0.91	15.41 0.76	12.11										

HOL

		CHE	DFS	FRA	ISR	ITA	NLD	USA	GBR		DEU			
CAN CHE DFS FRA ISR	0 436 873 707 44	0 398 400	460 0	458 1383 0		480 1295 1394	560 1629	606 1587 1748	353 691	259 627	1806 782 2267 2058 108	354	239 115 228 232 23	785 315 605 699 59
NLD	1003		911 1276	722 820	51 78	941	0	1963 1660	712	627	1997 2429	674	242 274	778 623
GBR HUN	2109 735 538	338 192	1180 691 430	875 587 393	93 47 37	752 534	775	0 970 712	0	396 0	2659 821 895	529 382 291	284 148 168	902 428 421
DEU BEL SVK	1241 459 163	657 345 50	1438 498 116	939 592 122	82 24 8	1182 488 164	1906 708 169	1720 481 198	874 434 91	597 230 114	0 739 256	716 0 69	354 133 0	928 360 146
		249 			26 			537 			502 			0
OL 														
	n thi		ıarteı	sib	group									
					FRA						DEU 			
AUS CAN		1065 0			810 1169			1087 1381			1113 2119			
CHE DFS	567		0 333	410 0	488 1129	33 93	541 1315	619 1469	678 1485	189 408	840 1848			
FRA ISR	589 40	862 53	18	62	0 40	0	90		105	35	1912			
ITA NLD	905	1365 1278 3320		1040	940 940	77	1228	1599	2004	462	2270 2684			
HUN		382	136	256	864 282 1032	26	368	1603 311 2262	430	0	2756 634 0			
 OL														
	on bul on thi		ıarteı	sib	group									
	CAN 	CHE 	DFS 	FRA 	ISR 	ITA 	NLD 	USA 	HUN 	DEU 				
CAN CHE DFS	0 435 890	0	467	447	70 34 97	478	572	564	211	1759 764 2268				
FRA ISR	683 44	387 16	663 65	0 39	77 0	1326 88	1412 104	1378 105	505 45	1929 106				
NLD	1001 918		1355	687 796	77	965	0	1730 1511	511	1965 2451				
HUN		155			27 80	402	357		0					
 ER						. – – – -								
 ER														
 ER 														
ER														

commo			arter				e diagon NZL	al			
			5			3			 	 	
			122			15					
			0			48					
					21 0						
					6						
NZL	61	111	38	20	21	12	0				
			0 10 ± 0 10	_ 1 1_		. 1					
	CAN	DFS	NOR	DEU 	group		e diagon		 	 	
 CAN	CAN 0	DFS 95		DEU 9	group				 	 	
CAN DFS NOR	CAN 0 96 4	DFS 95 0 96	NOR 4 123 0	DEU 9 43 14	group				 	 	
CAN DFS NOR	CAN 0 96	DFS 95 0 96	NOR 4 123	DEU 9 43 14	group				 	 	
CAN DFS NOR	CAN 0 96 4	DFS 95 0 96	NOR 4 123 0	DEU 9 43 14	group				 	 	
CAN DFS NOR DEU DC DC	CAN 0 96 4 9	DFS 95 0 96	NOR 4 123 0	DEU 9 43 14	group				 	 	
CAN DFS NOR DEU DC	CAN 0 96 4 9	DFS 95 0 96	NOR 4 123 0	DEU 9 43 14	group				 	 	
CAN DFS NOR DEU DC DC IM IM	CAN 96 4 9	DFS 95 0 96	NOR 4 123 0	DEU 9 43 14	group				 	 	
CAN DFS NOR DEU DC DC IM	CAN 0 96 4 9	DFS 95 0 96	NOR 4 123 0	DEU 9 43 14	group				 	 	