INTRODUCTION

The latest genomic test international evaluation for udder traits took place as scheduled at the Interbull Centre. Data from 21 countries were included in this evaluation.

International genetic evaluations for udder health traits of bulls were computed from: AUS BEL CAN CHE CZE DEU DFS ESP FRA GBR HUN IRL ISR ITA NLD NZL POL SVN USA ZAF JPN Holstein data were included in this evaluation.

BEL, CAN, DEU, ESP, FRA, DFS, GBR, ITA, NLD, POL, HUN submitted GEBVs.

mas: , CAN, DEU, ESP, FRA, DFS, , ITA, NLD, POL, scs: BEL, CAN, DEU, ESP, FRA, DFS, GBR, ITA, NLD, POL, HUN

CHANGES IN NATIONAL PROCEDURES

Changes in the national genetic evaluation of uder traits are as follows:

- ITA (HOL) Decrease in reliability due to changes in bull population
- ESP (HOL) Changed the reference genome and the imputing process, new check on genotypes and Interbull Method for gebv reliability. (GEBV test OK)
- GBR (HOL) Some animals affected by change in genomic information
- DEU (HOL) Some bulls affected by changed or added information in relatives
- INTERBULL CHANGES COMPARED TO THE DECEMBER ROUTINE RUN

No changes in Interbull procedures

DATA AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Thirteen Holstein populations sent GEBV data for up to 38 traits, while

classical EBVs for the same traits were used in the analyses. Young bull

GEBVs from the GEBV providers have been converted to the scales of all countries participating in classical MACE. A bull will get a MACE EBV or

a GMACE EBV but not both.

From those thirteen countries, National GEBVs of bulls less than seven years of age and with no

classical MACE proofs were included for the breeding value prediction with a further requirement of either a MACE-PA or a GMACE-PA (for young

genomic bulls with young genomic sires) being available.

The parameter-space approach is used for the GMACE genetic evaluations (Sullivan, 2016)

SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE

The international genetic evaluation procedure is based on international work described in the following scientific publications:

Sullivan, P.G. 2016. Defining a Parameter Space for GMACE. Interbull Bulletin 50, p 85-93.

VanRaden, P.M. and Sullivan, P.G. 2010. International genomic evaluation methods for dairy cattle. Gen. Sel. Evol. 42:7

Sullivan, P.G. and Jakobsen, J.H. 2012. Robust GMACE for young bulls methodology. Interbull Bulletin 45, Article 1.

Sullivan, P.G. 2012a. GMACE reliability approximation. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE_rels 2013

Sullivan, P.G. 2012b. GMACE variance estimation. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE_vce 2013

Sullivan, P.G. 2012c. GMACE Weighting Factors. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE_gedcs 2013

Jakobsen, J.H. and Sullivan, P.G. 2013. Trait specific computation of shared reference population. Reference sharing Nov 2013

NEXT ROUTINE INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for next routine run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar

NEXT TEST INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for next test run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar

PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL ROUTINE RUN

Results were distributed by the Interbull Centre to designated representatives in each country. The international evaluation file comprised international proofs expressed on the base and unit of each country included in the analysis. Such records readily provide more information on bull performance in various countries, thereby minimising the need to resort to conversions.

At the same time, all recipients of Interbull results are expected to honour the agreed code of practice, decided by the Interbull Steering Committee, and only publish international evaluations on their own country scale. Evaluations expressed on another country scale are confidential and may only be used internally for research and review purposes.

Table 1. National evaluation dates in GMACE run August 2023

Country Date

```
20230801
CAN
DEU
       20230808
DFS
       20230808
FRA
       20230809
GBR
       20230710
NLD
       20230801
ITA
       20230704
HUN
       20230721
BEL
       20201201
ESP
       20230710
POL
       20230630
_____
Table 2.
_____
Number of bulls in reference population for
CAN 44149.0
DEU 11036.0 47163.0
DFS 5484.0 39076.0 40106.0
FRA 4181.0 35012.0 34412.0 36794.0
GBR 37124.0 11808.0 6073.0 4223.0 39735.0
NLD 4260.0 36910.0 36163.0 34481.0 4592.0 38788.0
ITA 37391.0 10325.0 4748.0 3393.0 36589.0 3590.0 38976.0
HUN 2289.0 8277.0 7677.0 7297.0 2507.0 7827.0 2268.0 9111.0
BEL 729.0 728.0 626.0 710.0 687.0 741.0 719.0 549.0 1719.0
ESP 7015.0 41019.0 39097.0 35101.0 7696.0 36860.0 6311.0 8085.0 703.0 41977.0
POL 5009.0 34137.0 33666.0 30535.0 5458.0 32029.0 4376.0 7642.0 994.0 34353.0 35756.0
Number of bulls in reference population for
_____
CAN 26654.0
DEU 8954.0 31963.0
DFS 4661.0 26324.0 27168.0
FRA 3632.0 23450.0 23062.0 25007.0
NLD 3594.0 24322.0 23857.0 23000.0 25705.0
ITA 21862.0 8494.0 4164.0 3029.0 3075.0 22686.0
HUN 2164.0 4533.0 3992.0 3713.0 4089.0 2152.0 5245.0
ESP 5989.0 27901.0 26392.0 23521.0 24310.0 5517.0 4354.0 28723.0
POL 4202.0 21365.0 21141.0 19052.0 19544.0 3766.0 3931.0 21592.0 22863.0
```