INTRODUCTION

The latest genomic routine international evaluation for udder traits took place as scheduled at the Interbull Centre. Data from 26 countries were included in this evaluation.

International genetic evaluations for udder health traits of bulls from Australia, Austria-Germany, Belgium, Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark-Finland-Sweden, Estonia, France, Hungary, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, South Africa, Slovak Republic, Spain, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, the United States of America, Poland, Lithuania, Latvia and Portugal were computed.

Holstein data were included in this evaluation.

BEL, CAN, DEU, ESP, FRA, DFS, GBR, ITA, NLD, POL, HUN submitted GEBVs.

mas: BEL, CAN, DEU, ESP, FRA, DFS, , ITA, NLD, POL, HUN scs: BEL, CAN, DEU, ESP, FRA, DFS, GBR, ITA, NLD, POL, HUN

CHANGES IN NATIONAL PROCEDURES

Changes in the national genetic evaluation of uder traits are as follows:

DEU (HOL) First time with real mas data

Base change

CAN (HOL) Base change

ITA (HOL) Base change

GBR (HOL) Base change

FRA (HOL) Base change

NLD (HOL) Base change POL (HOL) Base change

TOE (HOE) Babe change

INTERBULL CHANGES COMPARED TO THE DECEMBER ROUTINE RUN

No changes in Interbull procedures

DATA AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Eleven Holstein populations sent GEBV data for up to 38 traits, while

classical EBVs for the same traits were used in the analyses. Young bull GEBVs from the GEBV providers have been converted to the scales of all countries participating in classical MACE. A bull will get a MACE EBV or a GMACE EBV but not both.

From those eleven countries, National GEBVs of bulls less than seven years of age and with no classical MACE proofs were included for the breeding value prediction with a further requirement of either a MACE-PA or a GMACE-PA (for young genomic bulls with young genomic sires) being available.

The parameter-space approach is used for the GMACE genetic evaluations (Sullivan, 2016)

SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE

The international genetic evaluation procedure is based on international work described in the following scientific publications:

- Sullivan, P.G. 2016. Defining a Parameter Space for GMACE. Interbull Bulletin 50, p 85-93.
- VanRaden, P.M. and Sullivan, P.G. 2010. International genomic evaluation methods for dairy cattle. Gen. Sel. Evol. 42:7
- Sullivan, P.G. and Jakobsen, J.H. 2012. Robust GMACE for young bulls methodology. Interbull Bulletin 45, Article 1.
- Sullivan, P.G. 2012a. GMACE reliability approximation. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE_rels 2013
- Sullivan, P.G. 2012b. GMACE variance estimation. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE_vce 2013

Sullivan, P.G. 2012c. GMACE Weighting Factors. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE_gedcs 2013

Jakobsen, J.H. and Sullivan, P.G. 2013. Trait specific computation of shared reference population. Reference sharing Nov 2013

NEXT ROUTINE INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for next routine run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar

NEXT TEST INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for next routine run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar

PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL ROUTINE RUN

Results were distributed by the Interbull Centre to designated representatives in each country. The international evaluation file comprised international proofs expressed on the base and unit of each country included in the analysis. Such records readily provide more information on bull performance in various countries, thereby minimising the need to resort to conversions.

At the same time, all recipients of Interbull results are expected to honour the agreed code of practice, decided by the Interbull Steering Committee, and only publish international evaluations on their own country scale. Evaluations expressed on another country scale are confidential and may only be used internally for research and review purposes.

Table 1. National evaluation dates in GMACE run April 2020

Country Date ______ 20200407 20200302 DFS FRA 20200408 GBR 20200225 20200401 NLDITA 20200309 HUN 20200323 BEL 20190901 20200317 POL20200102 ______

Table 2.

Number of bulls in reference population for scs

CAN 40134.0

DEU 6124.0 40533.0

DFS 3930.0 36248.0 37148.0

FRA 4010.0 34437.0 33975.0 36516.0

GBR 33581.0 6184.0 3957.0 3996.0 35478.0

NLD 3996.0 35922.0 35461.0 34220.0 4080.0 38116.0

ITA 32458.0 4849.0 2989.0 3003.0 31169.0 2934.0 33013.0

HUN 1749.0 7331.0 6953.0 6939.0 1767.0 7184.0 1553.0 7912.0

BEL 1791.0 1323.0 1102.0 1286.0 1365.0 1237.0 1633.0 781.0 3248.0

ESP 4320.0 36908.0 36410.0 34537.0 4346.0 35983.0 3280.0 7194.0 1252.0 37792.0

POL 4198.0 31815.0 31675.0 30246.0 3901.0 31432.0 3136.0 7041.0 1737.0 32071.0 33857.0

Number of bulls in reference population for mas

CAN	1 22204.0									
DEU	4713.0	29768.0								
DFS	3281.0	27245.0	28007.0							
FR <i>P</i>	3355.0	26250.0	25953.0	28009.0						
NLI	3231.0	26931.0	26657.0	26067.0	28628.0					
ITP	17122.0	3950.0	2610.0	2654.0	2499.0	17359.0				
HUN	1631.0	7219.0	6885.0	6867.0	7091.0	1495.0	7732.0			
BEI	1328.0	1249.0	1061.0	1238.0	1184.0	1208.0	773.0	2746.0		
ESE	3592.0	27744.0	27442.0	26318.0	26992.0	2870.0	7113.0	1199.0	28511.0	
POI	3298.0	22757.0	22752.0	22092.0	22470.0	2530.0	6973.0	1614.0	23017.0	24425.0