A single-step principal component ridge regression model for large-scale genomic evaluations

J. Ødegård^{1,2} and T. H. E. Meuwissen²

 ¹ AquaGen AS, P.O. Box 1240, N-7462 Trondheim, Norway
² Norwegian University of Life Sciences, P.O. Box 5003, N-1432 Aas, Norway



Large-scale genomic data

- Original single-step model (ssGBLUP), Legarra et al. (2009), Christensen & Lund (2010)
 - Complexity increases with number of genotyped animals
 - Inverse genomic relationship matrix (GRM) must be computed prior to the analysis
- Single-step marker effects model (ssMEM), Fernando et al. (2016)
 - No need for inverse GRM
 - Complexity depends on number of loci
- Populations of limited Ne
 - Limited number of haplotypes
- Genomic data can be approximated by a smaller number of principal components



Principal components explaining >99% of variance (Ne = 500, N = 10,000)



Singular value decomposition (SVD) of genomic data

- SVD of $N \times k$ (centered) genotype matrix
 - M = USV'
 - U = eigenvectors of MM' (orthonormal), U'U = I
 - V = eigenvectors of M'M(orthonormal), V'V = I
 - S is a diagonal matrix (square root of eigenvalues)
- Principal component ridge regression model
 - $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e}$
 - **s** = **V**'**b** (principal component regression coefficients)
 - T = US (= MV) (score matrix)
- Dimension reduction, include the first q principal components
 - $\mathbf{M} \approx \mathbf{U}_q \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{V}_q'$
 - $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{U}_q^{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{S}_q^{\mathbf{q}} (= \mathbf{M} \mathbf{V}_q)$
- Performing SVD is demanding for large datasets



Chromosome-wise SVD on a core sample



Chromosome-wise SVD on a core sample

Aproximated score matrix = C



Single-step marker effects model (ssMEM)

- Fernando et al. (GSE 2016, 48:96)
- Compute expected genotypes for non-genotyped animals by solving:
 - $\mathbf{A}^{22}\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2 = -\mathbf{A}^{21}\mathbf{M}_1$
 - Total genotype matrix (genotyped and ungenotyped) is:
 - $\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_1 \\ \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2 \end{bmatrix}$
- ssMEM:
 - $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{Z}_2\boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \mathbf{e}$
 - where $\epsilon \sim \overline{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \left(\mathbf{A}^{22}\right)^{-1}\sigma_a^2\right)$
- ssMEM equations:

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}'\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{I}\rho\lambda \\ \mathbf{Z}_{2}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{2}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{W}here \ \lambda = \frac{\sigma_{e}^{2}}{\sigma_{a}^{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\hat{b}} \\ \mathbf{\hat{c}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}'\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{2}'\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$$



Single-step principal component ridge-regression (ssPCRR)

• Compute expected scores for all non genotyped animals by solving:

- $A^{22}\hat{C}_2 = -A^{21}C_1$ (C_1 = approx. scores of genotyped)
- Total score matrix (genotyped and ungenotyped) is now: $C = \begin{vmatrix} C_1 \\ \hat{C}_2 \end{vmatrix}$
- ssPCRR model:
 - $y = ZCs + Z_2\epsilon + e$
- ssPCRR equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{I}\rho\lambda & \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z}_{2} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{2}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{Z}_{2}'\mathbf{Z}_{2} + \mathbf{A}^{22}\lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{s}} \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{2}'\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

Genotyped EBV:

$$\hat{a}_1 = C_1 \hat{s}$$

Ungenotyped EBV

•
$$\hat{a}_2 = C_2 \hat{s} + \hat{\epsilon}$$



Simulation study

- Simulated population using QMSim (Sargolzaei and Schenkel, 2009)
 - 30 chromosomes of 100 cM
 - 24,259 SNP marker loci
 - 829 QTL
 - $h^2 = 0.25$
 - $N_e = 500$
 - 20,000 genotyped
 - 100,000 ungenotyped
 - All animals had own phenotype
- Chromosome-wise SVD
 - 2000 core animals
 - Number of chosen components set to explain >99% of genomic variation
- Block-iterative solver
- All analyses were run in a Julia environment (<u>https://julialang.org/</u>)



Performance of models

- If full-scale SVD is performed
 - All models are equivalent and give identical results
- (Chromosome-wise) Reduced-dimension ssPCRR
 - EBV correlation to original ssGBLUP was >0.9999
- Large-scale analysis
 - 4710 PC needed (157 per chromosome)
 - Setting up equation system ~ 4 minutes
 - Solving ~ 3 minutes
- Accuracies:
 - Genotyped: 0.90
 - Ungenotyped: 0.76



Conclusions

• Large-scale genomic data from populations of limited Ne

- Few PC capture nearly all genetic variation
 - << number of loci (dense data)
 - << number of genotyped animals (large N)
- Fast SVD and dimension reduction
 - Smaller core sample
 - Parallell chromosome-wise SVD
- Single-step PC ridge regression (ssPCRR)
 - Very close approximation of the original ssGBLUP EBVs
 - Dimension of equation system greatly reduced
 - No need for inverse relationship matrices of genotyped animals



Acknowledgements



Project no. 255297: "From whole genome sequence to precision breeding"

