Options for incorporating feed intake data into national selection indexes

Peter Amer, Stefan Meyer – AbacusBio

Filippo Miglior, Christine Baes, Caeli Richardson – CDN/University of Guelph

Mike Coffey, Eileen Wall - SRUC

Interbull Tallin 2017





Efficient Dairy Genome Project

- Genome Canada Large Scale Applied Research Project
 - Led by Filippo Miglior (Guelph) and Paul Stothard (Alberta)
- Improve feed efficiency (FE) and reduce methane emissions (ME) in dairy cattle using genomics
- Build a Canadian female reference population for FE and ME and link with international partners
- Measure farm level and societal cost benefits from incorporating the new traits into breeding programs





A Fully Integrated Partnership



UNIVERSITY #GUELPH





#1 – DE-SU PHOENIX 588

Sire: <u>HOUSAM60597003</u> Dam: <u>HOUSAF62721460</u> MGS: HOUSAM131823833	ENSEI DE-SU SAND	ENSENADA TABOO PLANET-ET DE-SU 7902-ET SANDY-VALLEY BOLTON-ET				03-MAR-03 15-AUG-07 11-SEP-01		7.21% 7.49% 6.05%	12% 11% 11%
PRODUCTION	CDA 12	ADP			1.75			NDEX	Del
PRODUCTION	GPA 12	APK			LIF	ETIME PR		NDEX	Kei
Herds		Kg	%RK	%Dev		0	SPA LPI	3594	69
Daughters	Milk	2884	99%			PROD	UCTION	2656	
Lactations	Fat	118	99%	+0.12		DUR	ABILITY	916	
Reliability 7	3% Protein	98	99%	+0.04	HE	ALTH & FE	RTILITY	22	
CONFORMATION	GPA 12	*APR	F	lerds:	D	aughters:		Reliability	: 68%
					-			,	
SCORECARD	Ratin	g %RK	-1	5 -10	-5	0 5	10 15		
Conformation	11	98%							
Mammary System	12	99%							
Feet & Legs	7	90%							
Dairy Strength	5	81%							
Rump	4	76%							
DESCRIPTIVE						_			
Udder Depth	55		Deep					Shallow	
Udder Texture	7		Fleshy					5oft	
Median Suspensory	8		Weak					Strong	
Fore Attachment	8		Weak				1	Strong	
Front Teat Placement	7 C		Wide					Close	
Rear Attachment Height	7		Low				I	High	
Rear Attachment Width	11		Narrow					Vide	
Rear Teat Placement	3C		Wide					Close	
Teat Length	75		Short					Long	
Foot Angle	3		Low					Steep	
Heel Depth	4		Shallow					Deep	
Bone Quality	4		Coarse					Flat	
Rear Legs Side View	5C		Straight					Curved	
Set of Rear Legs	1	Und	desirable					Desirable	
Rear Legs Rear View	6	н	ocked-in	\vdash	_			Straight	
Stature	0		Short					Tall	
Height at Front End	-2		Low				•	High	
Chest Width	2		Narrow				1	Vide	
Body Depth	0		Shallow					Deep	
Angularity	7	Non	-Angular				/	Angular	
Loin Strength	0		Weak				1	Strong	
Rump Angle	1 H		High					_ow	
Pin Setting	2	Und	desirable					Desirable	
Pin Width	6		Narrow				1	Wide	

FUNCTIONAL	Rating Rel		Difference	from Breed Average (SD)		Breed Avg.
Herd Life	109GPA 63%	Short			Long	100
Somatic Cell Score	2.84GPA 70%	Undesirable			Desirable	3.00
Lactation Persistency	102GPA 57%	Poor			High	100
Daughter Fertility	99GPA 58%	Poor			High	100
Milking Speed	99GPA 55%	Slow			Fast	100
Milking Temperament	110GPA 54%	Nervous			Calm	100
Calving Ability	104G 84%	Difficult			Easy	100
Daughter Calving Ability	103GPA 57%	Difficult			Easy	100





#1 – DE-SU PHOENIX 588

Sire: HOUSAM60597003 Dam: HOUSAF62721460 MGS: HOUSAM131823833	ENSENADA TABOO PLANET-ET DE-SU 7902-ET SANDY-VALLEY BOLTON-ET				03-MAR-03 15-AUG-07 11-SEP-01		7.21% 7.49% 6.05%	12% 11% 11%	
PRODUCTION	GPA 12*	APR			LIFET	IME PRO	DFIT I	NDEX	Rel
Herds		Ka	%RK	%Dev		GE		3594	69
Daughters	Milk	2884	99%	100.01		PRODU	CTION	2656	
Lactations	Fat	118	99%	+0.12		DURA	BILITY	916	
Reliability 73%	Protein	98	99%	+0.04	HEAI	TH & FER	TILITY	22	
CONFORMATION	GPA 12*	APR	H	lerds:	Dau	ghters:		Reliability	: 68%
SCORECARD	Rating	%RK	-1	5 -10	-5 0	5 1	.0 15		
Conformation	11	98%							
Mammary System	12	99%							
Feet & Legs	7	90%							
Dairy Strength	5	81%							
Rump	4	76%							
DESCRIPTIVE									
Udder Depth	55		Deep				5	shallow	
Udder Texture	7		Fleshy				5	oft	
Median Suspensory	8		Weak				5	strong	
Fore Attachment	8		Weak				5	strong	
Front Teat Placement	7 C		Wide					Close	
Rear Attachment Height	7		Low				L ŀ	ligh	
Rear Attachment Width	11		Narrow				• V	Vide	
Rear Teat Placement	30		Wide					Close	
Teat Length	75		Short			_		.ong	
Foot Angle	3		Low				5	iteep	
Heel Depth	4		Shallow					Deep	
Bone Quality	4		Coarse				F	lat	
Rear Legs Side View	5C		Straight					Curved	
Set of Rear Legs	1	Ur	Idesirable					esirable	
Rear Legs Rear View	6	1	locked-in				2	straight	
Stature	0		Short					all	
Height at Front End	-2		Low					ligh	
Cnest width	2		Challow					VIGE	
Angularity	7	No	Snallow Angular					veep Veevlar	
Angularity	/	INO	Mach				/	Migular	
Loin Strength	0		weak					strong	
Din Setting	2	1.12	nign Idesirable		1)osirable	
Din Width	6	01	Narrow					Vida	
e in missil	v		Narrow				V	196	

FUNCTIONAL	Rating Rel		Difference	from Breed Average (S	5D)	Breed Avg.
Herd Life	109GPA 63%	Short			Long	100
Somatic Cell Score	2.84GPA 70%	Undesirable			Desirable	3.00
Lactation Persistency	102GPA 57%	Poor			High	100
Daughter Fertility	99GPA 58%	Poor			High	100
Milking Speed	99GPA 55%	Slow			Fast	100
Milking Temperament	110GPA 54%	Nervous			Calm	100
Calving Ability	104G 84%	Difficult			Easy	100
Daughter Calving Ability	103GPA 57%	Difficult			Easy	100

Feed intake into UK dairy and beef indexes

Profitable Lifetime Index – £PLI

Breeding

Production

32.2%

Feet&Le

0.60

5.5%

direct

Calving Ease 1.6%

An economic breeding index for UK autumn block and all year round calving herds

What is the £PLI?

Spring 2014

The percentage

weightings

within the

of traits

updated £PLI

The national Profitable Lifetime Index (£PLI) is published by DairyCo Breeding+ as part of its genetic evaluation service. The £PLI is a within-breed genetic ranking index developed for UK dairying conditions in consultation with industry partners and is expressed as a financial value.

Lifespan 14.4%

Fertility 20.3%

SCC 9.1%

maternal Calving Ease 0.3%

The £PLI will:

- ✓ Promote yield while protecting milk quality
- ✓ Increase emphasis on fertility
- ✓ Improve functional type feet & legs and udders
- ✓ Increase emphasis on longevity
- ✓ Reduce costs associated with maintenance
- ✓ Improve udder health
- Improve calving performance



Feed intake into UK dairy and beef indexes



Genomic prediction of total feed intake



MIR prediction of total feed intake

Profitable Lifetime Index – £PLI

Breeding

An economic breeding index for UK autumn block and all year round calving herds

What is the £PLI?

Spring 2014

The national Profitable Lifetime Index (£PLI) is published by DairyCo Breeding+ as part of its genetic evaluation service. The £PLI is a within-breed genetic ranking index developed for UK dairying conditions in consultation with industry partners and is expressed as a financial value.

The £PLI will:

- ✓ Promote yield while protecting milk quality
- ✓ Increase emphasis on fertility
- ✓ Improve functional type feet & legs and udders
- ✓ Increase emphasis on longevity
- ✓ Reduce costs associated with maintenance
- ✓ Improve udder health
- Improve calving performance

£PLI explained

Lifespan 14.4% The percentage Production weightings 32.2% of traits within the SCC 9.1% updated £PLI Feet&Le Fertility 20.3% 5.5% materna Calving Ease 0.3% 0.60 direct Calving Ease 1.6%

We already "account for" feed intake in the existing indexes



Components of lifetime energy expenditure







What happens when select for total feed intake?



UNIVERSITY #GUELPH

Variation in fatness

Select

Unfavourable associations

- Feed intake and residual feed intake potentially unfavourably correlated with
 - Body reserves
 - Health
 - Fertility
 - Activity
 - Animal welfare
 - Foraging ability
 - Diet selectivity

Prediction trade-off

Feed intake

Milk, Live weight

Prediction trade-off

Feed intake

The "Multi-trait Prediction" solution

• Use growth/milk as correlated predictors of total feed intake

But.....

- Major over-haul of the breeding objective
- Major over-haul of genetic evaluation to integrate new trait
- Feed intake recorded in animals where the correlation does not exist?
- Many genetic evaluation systems modular
- Becoming more modular as genomics included

The "Residuals" solution

- Residual feed intake
 - Feed eaten after accounting for energy sinks linked to production (e.g. milk, growth, live weight) and viability (e.g. Body Condition Score, fatness)

Problems with "Residuals"

• Adjusting one genetic trait for another genetic trait can lead to false variation

- Not all energy sinks recorded accurately on all selection candidates (fatness!)
- Integrating information from multiple data capture systems
- Multi-collinearity in adjustment coefficients

Sub-index Feed efficiency

Future Lifetime Performance Index

Sub-index Feed efficiency

- Current index stays as it is
- What added predictive value on feed intake relative to production do we have?

Simulation

- Sires with 80 daughters
- 100%, 30% or 10% of daughters recorded for feed intake
- Milk records, Live weight records
- Index correlations (of sires) with true (simulated) overall merit

Profit = Milk Revenue – Heifer feed costs – Cow feed costs + "Other" trait subindex

Simulation results – ignoring live weight

Simulation results

Wasted feed sub-index

Predicting
Total feed intake – feed milk – feed LW

$EBV(TFI) - \alpha \circ EBV(milk) - \beta \circ EBV(LW)$

EBVs are not on correct scale to take a difference!

Wasted feed sub-index (with deregressed EBV **d**EBV)

• Predicting

Total feed intake – feed milk – feed LW

 $[debV(TFI) - \alpha \circ debV(milk) - \beta \circ debV(LW)] \times \lambda$

 $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ accounts for the reliabilities (regresses back to the mean)

Take home messages

- Feed intake data likely doesn't warrant a rebuild of genetic evaluation system and breeding objective
- Residual feed intake works, but does not work well with international sharing of data
- Sub-index approach is an appealing alternative
- Accounts for
 - Low and variable reliabilities of feed intake data
- Need to use information from live weight