Development of genomic evaluations for direct measures of health in U.S. Holsteins and their correlations with fitness traits

K.L. Parker Gaddis,¹ M.E. Tooker,² J.R. Wright,² J.H. Megonigal, Jr.,¹ J.S. Clay,³ J.B. Cole,² and P.M. VanRaden²

- ¹Council on Dairy Cattle Breeding, Bowie, Maryland, USA
- Beltsville, Maryland, USA
- ³ Dairy Records Management Systems, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA



² Animal Genomics and Improvement Laboratory, Agricultural Research Service, USDA,



United States Department of Agriculture

Introduction

- Increasing demand for health evaluations
 - Consumer demand

Health trait

Hypocalcemia

Displaced abomasu

Ketosis

Mastitis

Metritis

Retained placenta



Improve profitability → decreasing management costs

	Direct cost estimate*
	\$38
m	\$178
	\$28
	\$72
	\$105
	\$64

*Liang et al., 2017; Donnelly et al.

Cole, Interbull, Aug. 2017 (2)



Objectives

- Compute traditional and genomic evaluations
- used for selection



Estimate variance components for 6 common health events recorded by producers on U.S. dairy farms

Estimate correlations with fitness traits currently

Cole, Interbull, Aug. 2017 (3)



Phenotypic data

- NC) for 6 common health traits
 - Hypocalcemia/milk fever
 - Displaced abomasum
 - Ketosis
 - Mastitis
 - Metritis
 - Retained placenta



Health event data available from DRMS (Raleigh,





Cole, Interbull, Aug. 2017 (4)



Standardization & editing

- Account for different acronyms used in herds
- Inclusion of Holstein animals with acceptable ID
- Parities 1 to 5
- calving)







Events must occur within specified time frame after calving (e.g., retained placenta must be reported within 10 days of

Minimum/maximum constraints for herd-year reporting

Cole, Interbull, Aug. 2017 (5)





Variance component estimation

 Univariate linear animal models (AIREMLF90; Misztal et al., 2002):

$y = X\beta + Z_hh + Z_aa + Z_pp + \varepsilon$

- Fixed effects
 - Overall mean
 - Parity
 - Year-season



- Random effects
 - Herd-year
 - Additive genetic
 - Permanent environmental
 - Residual



Traditional evaluation

- Univariate BLUP repeatability animal model
- Similar to routine national genetic evaluations
- Fixed effects included
 - Year-season
 - Age at calving by parity
 - Regression on inbreeding
- Random effects the same



Cole, Interbull, Aug. 2017 (7)



Genomic evaluation

- Used 60,671 markers currently included in U.S. routine genomic evaluations



SNP effects estimated using model similar to BayesA

Same fixed and random effects as traditional model

Cole, Interbull, Aug. 2017 (8)



Summary statistics

Health event	Records (no.)	Cows (no.)	Herds (no.)	Mean incidence rate (%)
Hypocalcemia	1,232,071	720,091	671	1.3
Displaced abomasum	1,880,042	1,054,244	980	2.1
Ketosis	1,288,144	741,520	671	3.9
Mastitis	2,541,411	1,428,312	1,825	10.2
Metritis	2,032,644	1,171,957	1,315	6.2
Retained placenta	1,964,950	1,120,772	1,319	3.6







Variance components

Observed scale (standard error)

Health trait	Heritability	Repeatability
Hypocalcemia	0.006 (0.59 × 10 ⁻³)	0.031 (0.10 × 10 ⁻²)
Displaced abomasum	0.011 (0.46 × 10 ⁻³)	0.012 (0.48 × 10 ⁻³)
Ketosis	0.012 (0.77 × 10 ⁻³)	0.045 (0.11 × 10 ⁻²)
Mastitis	0.031 (0.95 × 10 ⁻³)	0.086 (0.75 × 10 ⁻³)
Metritis	0.014 (0.76 × 10 ⁻³)	0.053 (0.86 × 10 ⁻³)
Retained placenta	0.010 (0.62 × 10 ⁻³)	0.036 (0.86 × 10 ⁻³)







Reliability

	Mean relia		
Health trait	Traditional	Genomic	Improvement
Hypocalcemia	20.0	44.2	24.2
Displaced abomasum	25.7	47.1	21.4
Ketosis	24.0	46.2	22.2
Mastitis	33.3	56.3	23.0
Metritis	27.6	48.1	20.5
Retained placenta	25.6	46.7	21.1



Progeny-tested animals





Reliability

	Mean relia		
Health trait	Traditional	Genomic	Improvement
Hypocalcemia	10.9	40.0	29.1
Displaced abomasum	14.6	41.8	27.2
Ketosis	13.4	41.2	27.8
Mastitis	18.3	49.4	31.1
Metritis	15.4	42.2	26.8
Retained placenta	14.2	41.6	27.4



Young animals







Correlations with other traits

Health trait	Protein	Productive life	Livability	Somatic cell score	Daughter pregnancy rate	Cow conception rate	Heifer conception rate
Hypocalcemia	0.18	0.15	0.19	-0.29	0.003	0.01	0.02
Displaced abomasum	0.23	0.35	0.47	-0.13	0.32	0.28	0.24
Ketosis	0.03	0.33	0.27	-0.19	0.59	0.49	0.07
Mastitis	0.06	0.39	0.22	-0.68	0.20	0.21	0.06
Metritis	0.05	0.32	0.26	-0.09	0.46	0.41	0.23
Retained placenta	-0.03	0.17	0.13	-0.10	0.14	0.13	0.12

- All other traits calculated for bulls born since 1990 with reliability of ≥90%
- Values in red are different from 0



• Hypocalcemia & retained placenta calculated for bulls born since 1990 with reliability of ≥75%



Health merit index

- health
- Health merit = economically weighted sum of 6 health traits
- Ensure appropriate weighting of each trait



Incorporate 6 health traits into a single measure of

Cole, Interbull, Aug. 2017 (14)



Correlations with health merit index

Trait

Protein

Productive life

Livability

Somatic cell score

Daughter pregnancy

Cow conception rate

Heifer conception ra



	Correlation with health merit
	0.09
	0.56
	0.55
	-0.45
rate	0.51
	0.46
te	0.34

Calculated for bulls born since 1990 with reliability of ≥90%



Conclusions

- Genomic reliabilities
 - 40–49% for young animals
 - 44–56% for progeny-tested animals
- Correlations with current traits as expected
- lifetime net merit index (NM\$)
- Preparing pipeline to be ready for implementation



Health traits will add no more than 4% improvement to







Acknowledgments

Dairy Records Management Systems

Animal Genomics & Improvement Laboratory, ARS, USDA

Thank you!

Cole, Interbull, Aug. 2017 (17)



