

Center for Integrated Breeding Research

Factors affecting phasing quality in a commercial layer population

N. Frioni¹, D. Cavero², H. Simianer¹& M. Erbe³

¹ University of Goettingen, Department of Animal Sciences, Center for Integrated Breeding Research, Animal Breeding and Genetics Group, Goettingen, Germany

² H&N International, Cuxhaven, Germany

³ Bavarian State Research Centre for Agriculture, Institute for Animal Breeding, Grub, Germany

WCGALP 2018. Auckland – New Zealand

Paper no.: 830









Importance of haplotype phasing

- Inferring points and number of recombination
- Imputation of low frequency variants
- Study of haplotype structure
 - genetic diversity and
 - accuracy in genomic selection
- Computational approaches with phasing software
 - sample size, marker density
 - genotype accuracy
 - relatedness in the sample





- Aim: Assessing haplotype phasing quality for a highly-related laying hen population using different phasing software
 - FImpute v2.2 (with and without pedigree information) (Sargolzaei et al., 2014)
 - Beagle v3.3 (without pedigree information) (Browning and Browning, 2007)
 - Beagle v4.1(Browning and Browning, 2007)



Materials

- Real SNP data from a purebred line of brown layers
- A pedigree of 53'882 individuals across 13 generations
- 918 genotyped individuals (belonging to gen. 7 to 12)
- SNPs from the 580k Affymetrix Axiom[®] Genome-Wide Chicken Array





Methods – Editing criteria

- Editing was done with Plink (Purcell et al., 2007)
 - Individuals with a call rate < 90%,
 - monomorphic SNPs and
 - SNPs not in HWE with $p < 10^{-8}$ were removed.
- 888 individuals remained in the dataset.
- We used chromosomes 1, 7 and 20. (77'910, 16'059 and 7'004 SNPs)











- We performed a simulation in order to have known phases from real SNP data.
- Simulation in three steps:
 - 1. From the 888 individuals' genotypes, haplotypes were derived in-silico to create a library of haplotypes.
 - 2. 2'000 randomly sampled from the library and assigned to the founders of the pedigree.
 - 3. Founders' haplotypes were dropped through the pedigree assuming random crossing-over events but no mutations.



- Phasing with four approaches:
 - FImpute 2.2 (with pedigree information)
 - FImpute 2.2 (without pedigree information)
 - Beagle v 3.3
 - Beagle v 4.1
- Comparison of true simulated and in-silico phased haplotypes:
 - Proportion of equally phased heterozygous SNPs
 - Number of breakpoints (change of phase)
 - Segment size between breakpoints











Distance between breakpoints



- Statistics were obtained for three subsets in order to analyze the effect of genotyped parents:
 - noP: 231 individuals with no genotyped parents
 - oneP: 606 individuals with one genotyped parent
 - **bothP**: 51 individuals with both parents genotyped

Results



Median values of proportion of correctly phased SNPs

Chromosome 20







• Number of breakpoints per 1000 SNPs



Results



Density plots of segments (kB) between breakpoints for Chr. 7





- Phasing quality was in general better with Beagle v4.1
- With at least one parent genotyped, FImpute with pedigree information reached similar levels of phasing quality
- Number of breakpoints and segments'size between breakpoints varied among the alternatives studied
- FImpute recovered haplotypes with a larger amount of short inverted segments
- FImpute computation time was approx. 1/3 in relation to Beagle 4.1

These studies were financially supported by the research training group RTG 1644 'Scaling Problem in Statistics', financed by the German Research Foundation (DFG).

We thank Lohmann Tierzucht GmbH for providing the data.

Thanks for your attention!



Center for Integrated Breeding







Real data

		C	3Q	ď₽	ď₽	
0 1	1	A	AA	A B	AB	A A BA
1 0	1 pł	hasing with A	AB	A A	ВА	A A AB
2 2	0	Beagle E	3 B	вв	A A	B B BA
1 1	2 —	> A	AB	ΒΑ	в в ——	→ A B AB
0 0	2	A	AA	A A	ΒB	A A AB
2 1	0	E	3 B	ΒΑ	AA	В В АА
2 0	1	E	3 B	A A	AB	B A AA
Population of 8 individuals	8	888 pha (1776	ased diplotyp 6 haplotypes)	es	2000 haplotypes randomly chosen for the simulation process	





Assign random haplotypes to founders

Drop through real pedigree

- no mutations
- random crossing overs (Poisson-distribution recombination, uniform distribution)



True simulated diplotypes

Simulated genotypes

CiBreed WW Center for Integrated Breeding Research

		Equally phased			E	Breakpoin	ts	Correctly	Switched segment size			
Phasing	Window	100	200	400	100	200	400	phased [%]	SI	NP	Bases	
software	Subset	_							Mean	Median	Mean	Median
	None-P	96.22	94.87	92.96	1.99	3.99	7.97	0.15	121.29	18.09	0.66	0.18
	One-P	99.63	99.40	99.21	0.13	0.26	0.52	72.92	86.22	63.30	0.57	0.43
FImpute	Both-P	99.85	99.79	99.77	0.12	0.23	0.46	88.04	25.15	11.75	0.20	0.12
	Total	98.81	98.30	97.66	0.56	1.13	2.25	54.63	108.01	57.42	0.66	0.40
	None-P	97.35	95.60	92.84	0.62	1.25	2.50	0.21	191.55	17.75	1.05	0.19
FImpute no pedigree	One-P	97.67	96.53	94.99	0.88	1.77	3.54	8.11	65.14	13.01	0.42	0.12
	Both-P	99.78	99.56	99.41	0.06	0.12	0.24	78.63	43.71	24.09	0.44	0.32
	Total	97.58	96.29	94.45	0.83	1.67	3.34	6.16	102.23	17.18	0.61	0.15
	None-P	98.97	98.14	96.52	0.11	0.22	0.44	11.60	1742.95	1285.82	9.39	7.44
	One-P	99.06	98.25	96.66	0.06	0.12	0.23	11.70	1929.92	1490.39	10.46	8.59
Beagle 3	Both-P	99.06	98.21	96.63	0.05	0.10	0.20	11.18	1965.24	1533.07	10.85	9.00
	Total	99.04	98.24	96.65	0.07	0.14	0.28	12.08	1911.22	1470.92	10.33	8.45
Beagle 4	None-P	99.85	99.62	99.23	0.04	0.08	0.17	88.45	1830.57	1545.96	9.70	8.28
	One-P	99.97	99.83	99.56	0.01	0.02	0.05	96.64	2006.97	1803.10	10.80	9.75
	Both-P	99.99	99.90	99.70	0.01	0.01	0.02	98.82	2094.93	2011.04	11.67	11.26
	Total	99.94	99.79	99.49	0.02	0.04	0.07	94.93	1946.97	1719.76	10.44	9.28

CiBreed M Center for Integrated Breeding Research

		Equally phased Breakpoints				ts	Correctly	Switched segment size				
Phasing software	Window	100	200	400	100	200	400	phased [%]	SNP		Bases	
	Subset	_							Mean	Median	Mean	Median
FImpute	None-P	95.73	94.16	92.05	2.15	4.32	8.64	4.54	98.30	69.59	3.62	2.44
	One-P	99.56	99.35	99.10	0.12	0.24	0.48	75.46	23.70	21.48	2.92	2.68
	Both-P	99.83	99.81	99.78	0.09	0.18	0.36	88.82	5.70	4.91	1.17	1.05
	Total	98.64	98.09	97.36	0.59	1.18	2.36	57.70	45.05	35.96	3.39	2.72
	None-P	94.35	91.95	88.71	2.62	5.30	10.64	10.46	60.00	41.89	2.85	1.87
FImpute	One-P	95.60	93.90	91.66	2.13	4.31	8.63	25.91	41.45	29.01	2.25	1.54
no	Both-P	99.23	98.83	98.31	0.26	0.52	1.01	67.65	42.60	37.04	3.98	3.56
pedigree	Total	95.34	93.49	91.02	2.23	4.50	9.03	22.49	45.97	32.07	2.41	1.63
	None-P	98.88	98.04	96.22	0.11	0.22	0.44	24.12	444.42	432.80	23.04	22.37
D 1. 2	One-P	99.02	98.27	96.58	0.06	0.12	0.23	27.37	449.92	440.99	26.72	26.26
Beagle 3	Both-P	99.03	98.35	96.70	0.05	0.10	0.20	24.90	439.99	435.21	27.53	27.31
	Total	99.00	98.23	96.52	0.07	0.14	0.28	26.98	447.07	437.71	25.96	25.45
Beagle 4	None-P	99.70	99.53	99.09	0.04	0.08	0.16	76.91	40.42	37.20	8.43	7.73
	One-P	99.84	99.75	99.52	0.01	0.02	0.05	85.84	21.85	21.32	8.62	8.39
	Both-P	99.89	99.86	99.71	0.01	0.01	0.02	89.41	1.80	1.82	1.92	1.92
	Total	99.81	99.70	99.43	0.02	0.04	0.07	84.09	25.55	24.44	8.50	8.11

CiBreed MM Center for Integrated Breeding Research

		Equally phased			B	reakpoin	ts	Correctly	Switched segment size			
Phasing software	Window	100	200	400	100	200	400	phased [%]	SNP		Bases	
	Subset	_							Mean	Median	Mean	Median
	None-P	96.03	94.47	92.32	1.91	3.88	7.98	27.84	81.52	62.54	5.70	4.63
	One-P	99.57	99.40	99.20	0.11	0.22	0.44	84.25	50.16	44.68	3.51	3.22
FImpute	Both-P Total	99.83 98.75	99.78 98.24	99.75 97.57	0.07 0.52	0.16 1.05	0.33 2.15	93.12 70.55	11.42 70.89	9.82 57.91	0.50 4.93	0.44 4.23
FImpute	None-P	96.17	94.45	92.20	1.51	3.03	6.06	41.91	83.80	63.17	5.95	4.69
	One-P	97.31	96.11	94.52	1.03	2.06	4.13	52.60	91.44	71.79	6.36	5.22
no podigraa	Both-P	99.00	98.45	97.90	0.26	0.53	1.05	72.30	74.81	62.19	5.66	4.87
pedigree	Total	97.10	95.79	94.08	1.12	2.24	4.48	50.57	90.36	70.61	6.31	5.16
	None-P	99.18	98.49	97.09	0.10	0.19	0.39	64.74	316.55	306.15	22.37	21.77
	One-P	99.33	98.66	97.41	0.05	0.10	0.19	66.61	309.11	302.72	23.96	23.56
Beagle 3	Both-P	99.38	98.70	97.59	0.04	0.07	0.14	69.74	307.13	306.06	25.87	25.82
	Total	99.30	98.63	97.35	0.06	0.12	0.24	66.35	311.58	303.97	23.64	23.18
Beagle 4	None-P	99.73	99.52	99.15	0.04	0.07	0.15	87.68	122.67	113.62	9.69	9.26
	One-P	99.85	99.72	99.47	0.01	0.03	0.05	92.27	136.08	129.12	8.71	8.33
	Both-P	99.89	99.79	99.60	0.01	0.01	0.03	93.91	50.46	50.46	3.79	3.79
	Total	99.83	99.68	99.41	0.02	0.04	0.07	91.37	128.57	120.96	8.90	8.51