Application of nonlinear weightings in industry breeding indexes

Fiona Hely, Peter Amer, Ross Evans and Andrew Cromie





Introduction

- Development of a dairy beef index for use in Ireland
- Initial formulations with linear weightings lead to bulls with undesirable calving difficulty levels ranking highly
- Challenge to breed for calves that would meet the minimum processor specifications





Dairy beef index

- Developed in collaboration with ICBF and Teagasc
- Traits incorporated:
 - Gestation length
 - Calving difficulty
 - Calf mortality
 - Carcase weight, fat and conformation
 - Feed intake
 - Docility





Why non-linear approach to calving?

 A linear formulation was tested with the new calving proofs

C	•		
Component PTA	Calving only	Linear calving DBI	Non-linear calving DBI
Dairy heifer % difficult	4.8	12.5	7.8
Dairy cow % difficult	1.5	7.5	4.0

-0.87

0.58

Average PTAs of the top 30 ranked bulls on the DBI

Carcase weight

Carcase conformation

 The top ranked bulls had undesirable levels of calving difficulty





34.23

2.77

2.08

Calving difficulty survey

• Farmers tolerate a small amount of calving difficulty for a higher calf value, but not a large amount



Sire Calving Difficulty (%)

ABACUSBIO

(Received 9 December 2015; Accepted 28 June 2016)



Proposed non-linear calving utility



ICB

- Curve from the survey results used as a base
- 23% Dairy heifer + 77% Dairy cow
- Linear economic weighting -€6.44
- Shift from 3-4% difficult calvings in non-linear calving utility of -€6.18



Application within the dairy beef index



 Active beef bulls with greater than 50 dairy progeny

Effect of nonlinear calving

- Calving difficulty values in top ranked bulls more in line with farmer preference
- Acceptable changes in other traits



Average PTAs of the top 30 ranked bulls on the DBI

Component PTA	Calving only	Linear calving DBI	Non-linear calving DBI		
Dairy heifer % difficult	4.8	12.5	7.8		
Dairy cow % difficult	1.5	7.5	4.0		
Carcase weight	-0.87	34.23	27.17		
Carcase conformation	0.58	2.77	2.08		



The "not in spec" sub-index

- Dairy beef tends to have a high proportion of low carcase weight and low conformation carcases
- The price per kg paid by processors drops sharply when carcases do not meet the minimum specifications

	U+	U=	U-	R+	R=	R-	0+	O=	O-	P+
2+	24	18	12	6	0	0	-18	-24	-30	-36
3	24	18	12	6	0	0	-12	-18	-24	-30
4-	24	18	12	6	0	0	-12	-18	-24	-30
4=	24	18	12	6	0	0	-12	-24	-30	-36
4+	18	12	6	0	-6	-6	-18	-24	-30	-36
5	0	-6	-12	-18	-24	-24	-36	-42	-48	-54





The "not in spec" sub-index

- Based on a bull's PTA, estimate the probability of producing a carcase that falls below the minimum processor spec for carcase weight or conformation
- Create a "not in spec" sub-index:
- -€ 0.40/kg x 325kg avg CW x % out of spec conformation -€ 0.70/kg x 325kg avg CW x % out of spec carcase weight





Example for carcase weight component



- Bull A has cwt = -25
 - 35% probability out of spec
 - Penalty of €49



Example for carcase weight component



- Bull A has cwt = -25
 - 35% probability out of spec
 - Penalty of €49
- Bull B has cwt = +5
 - 16% probability out of spec
 - Penalty of €23



Trait emphasis is dependent on breed







Trait emphasis

Trait emphasis is dependent on breed







Where to from here?

- Currently testing these nonlinear approaches in the
 - Dairy EBI
 - Beef Terminal index
 - Beef Replacement index

ABACUSBIO



Conclusions

- Non-linear weightings have been key in developing a dairy beef index formulation acceptable to farmers
- AbacusBio has developed non-linear weightings for traits in a number of contexts





