Genomic evaluation of crossbred dairy cattle in the United States

- - ¹Council on Dairy Cattle Breeding, Bowie, Maryland, USA
- ² Animal Genomics and Improvement Laboratory, Agricultural Research Service, USDA, Beltsville, Maryland, USA



G.R. Wiggans,¹ P.M. VanRaden,² D.J. Null,² E.L. Nicolazzi,¹ G.B. Jansen,¹ and J.H. Megonigal, Jr.¹



United States Department of Agriculture



Background

- By 2019, >35,000 animals excluded from genomic evaluation
 - Determined to be crossbred based on breed SNPs
 - >\$1 million spent in genotyping with no genomic evaluation provided
- Evaluate crossbreds by combining individual-breed (purebred) **SNP** effects weighted by breed proportions [VanRaden et al., 2017, J. Dairy Sci. 100(Supp. 2):409–410]





Crossbreds excluded before April 2019

- Genomic predictions for ~50 traits for 5 breeds: Ayrshire, Brown Swiss, Guernsey, Holstein, Jersey
- Excluded from evaluations based on presence of unlikely alleles in a set of breed-determining SNPs because:
 - Imputation relies on breed-specific haplotype libraries and allele frequencies (Holstein would overwhelm otherwise)
 - Marker effects differ by breed





Requirements for evaluation of crossbreds

- Breed composition for weighting individual breed contributions
 - Pedigree often not reliable because of missing ancestors
- Evaluations for each breed on same base so that they can be combined
- Method to impute genotypes for crossbreds







Breed proportions (breed base representation; BBR)

- Reference population of ~36,000 purebred males across 5 breeds
- SNP effects estimated with same 79K SNPs as in genomic evaluation
- Phenotypic values for 5 breed traits: 100% for animal's breed; **0% otherwise**
- SNP-effect solutions updated each April
- BBR calculated and released for all animals every month Adjusted to 0–100% range; values of ≤2 redistributed to other breeds Animal BBR updated if any of its breed values differ by ≥4





Imputation of crossbreds

- - For Holsteins, bulls and cows with progeny, trad evaluations required (only 1/3 of cows with single progeny included)
 - All genotypes from other breeds
- Parent genotypes from purebred directories added to improve imputation accuracy in crossbred directory
- PTAs released weekly; BBRs delayed until monthly so released **BBR is from imputation using appropriate reference population**



Starts with Haplotype library from BBR reference population



Evaluation of crossbreds

- 5 evaluations calculated for each trait of each animal (1 from each breed's SNP-effect solutions)
- Animals with highest BBR of <90% evaluated by weighting individual breed solutions by BBR
- Reference population limited to BBR of ≥94%
- not comparable or not available for all breeds



Type, calving, health traits, and feed saved not blended because



Frequency of crossbred genotypes

COUNCIL ON DAIRY CATTLE BREEDING





Evaluation details

- that animals with same evaluation breed included in same distribution file
- Animals with BBR of ≥85% for different breed not evaluated because of possible misidentification
- purebred evaluations



Results from purebred and crossbred directories combined so

Inbreeding of future progeny calculated with relationships from



Weekly evaluations

- on chip
- Genotypes with BBR of ≥85% initially placed in purebred directory
- Genotypes based on imputation from purebred directories with BBR of <90% moved to crossbred directory
- Genotypes in crossbred directory with BBR of ≥90% receive single-breed evaluation



Approximate BBR calculated as genotypes are loaded; uses only SNPs



Breed of evaluation

- Initial breed of evaluation derived from preferred ID
- Remains breed of evaluation if BBR >40%; breed of highest BBR becomes breed of evaluation otherwise
- Change in breed of ID required if BBR of >85% for different breed
- Evaluations converted to individual breed bases for reporting



Recessive conditions

- Not reported for crossbreds
- Most characteristics breed-specific





Comparisons

- **BBR of ≥94% for reference population**
- Largest effect for animals with 90% < BBR <94% where own traditional evaluation not included
- Larger changes for animals previously with a single-breed evaluation



Little effect on purebred evaluations because of requirement for

evaluation and now with mixed breed ancestry reflected in



Summary

- Genomic evaluations of crossbreds began April 2019
- Single-breed evaluations combined, weighted by breed proportions
- Number of crossbreds being genotyped rapidly increasing
- Routine updating of BBR when significant change
- reliability than purebreds



Extends pedigree validation, provides breed composition, lower



Acknowledgments and disclaimers

- redefining selection goals" supported research and development
- Mention of trade names or commercial products is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by CDCB or USDA
- CDCB and USDA are equal opportunity providers and employers



Participating dairy producers for supplying pedigree and genomic data

 USDA-ARS project ARS 8042-31000-002-00, "Improving dairy animals by increasing accuracy of genomic prediction, evaluating new traits, and

