Incorporating external information as observations in Dutch/Flemish genetic evaluations Herwin Eding | Interbull, May 19, 2024



Introduction I

BETTER COWS > BETTER LIFE

Introduction single step genomic evaluations December 7, 2023

Pubished GEBV from pseudo-record system

DGV fitted as correlated traits



Introduction II

Trigger

- Incorporate DGV information of underlying traits
 - Without (increasing) number of correlated traits (runtime!)
 - Improved transfer of DGV to official evaluations.
- Deregressed proofs as observations on actual traits
 - No extra correlated pseudo-traits necessary
 - Limiting run time evaluation (avoid fitting extra traits)

Challenges

1. Observations

- → Breeding values
- 2. Number of repeat records \rightarrow Reliabilities



Observations



Deriving observation records from GEBV

Two step process

- 1. Deregression
 - Linear deregression to remove national information from MACE proof
- 2. Transformation
 - Based on approach already in use.
 - Somewhat more formalized and simplified:

o = Tb



Observation: Deregression

For a list of eurogenomics bullsExternal BVXBVNational BVEBV



Observation: Deregression

For a list of eurogenomics bulls External BV XBV => $DRP_x = PA + (XBV-PA)/r_x$; EOCx = $\alpha * r_x/(1-r_x)$ National BV EBV => $DRP_e = PA + (EBV-PA)/r_e$; EOCe = $\alpha * r_e/(1-r_e)$

EOC = Expected Own Contribution; expected number of observation records



Observation: Deregression

For a list of eurogenomics bulls External BV XBV => DRP_x = PA + (XBV-PA)/r_x; EOCx = $\alpha * r_x/(1-r_x)$ National BV EBV => DRP_e = PA + (EBV-PA)/r_e; EOCe = $\alpha * r_e/(1-r_e)$

EOC = Expected Own Contribution; expected number of observation records

Final result EOC = (EOCx – EOCe) DRP = [DRPx * EOCx – DRPe*EOCe] / EOC (Pitkänen et al, 2019)



Observations: Transformation

The function

- **b** is a vector with *n* input DRP
- o is a vector with *m* output observations
- **T** is a *m* x *n* transformation matrix

o = Tb



Observations: Transformation

The function

- b is a vector with n input DRP
- o is a vector with *m* output observations
- **T** is a *m* x *n* transformation matrix

The transformation matrix

Important to distinguish between:

- Input trait: Which traits have DRP records?
- · Analyzed traits: Which traits are in the evaluation?
- · Observed traits: Which traits have observations?

Usually 2 or all 3 categories are identical, but not always!



o = Tb

Observations: Transformation matrix

Transformation matrix T can be obtained relatively easily

o = Tb

- Two 'phi'-matrices are needed that describe the relations between traits
 - Matrix F describes relation between input and analyzed traits
 - Matrix D describes relation between analyzed and output traits
- Additionally a genetic matrix **G** is needed (the one in the evaluation)

 $T = (DGF')(FGF')^{-1}$



Observaties: Transformation matrix conformation

o = Tb

For evaluations like Conformation Single observation (lactation), single DRP Input, analyzed and observed traits are identical

- **F** = **I** (identity matrix)
- D = I

• Result:
$$\mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{DGF}')(\mathbf{FGF}')^{-1} = \mathbf{GG}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}$$

 $\mathbf{o} = \mathbf{Tb} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}$



Observations: Transformation matrix index trait

For evaluations like Fertility, Udder health Single GEBV/DRP; multiple underlying observed traits

F = w' = [0.41 0.33 0.26] ← lactation specific weights

• D = I

Result: T = (DGF')(FGF')⁻¹ = (Gw)(w'Gw)⁻¹

o = Tb



Observations: Transformation matrix index trait

For evaluations like Fertility, Udder health Single GEBV/DRP; multiple underlying observed traits

F = w' = [0.41 0.33 0.26] ← lactation specific weights
 D = I

o = Tb

Result: T = (DGF')(FGF')⁻¹ = (Gw)(w'Gw)⁻¹

• Example:
$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.7 & 0.6 \\ 0.7 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 0.6 & 0.8 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 $\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 16 \\ 25 \end{bmatrix}$ \Rightarrow $\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 8.4 & 9.0 \\ 8.4 & 16 & 16 \\ 9.0 & 16 & 25 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.933 \\ 1.048 \\ 1.045 \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbf{b} = [5.0]$ $\mathbf{o} = \mathbf{Tb} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.7 \\ 5.2 \\ 5.2 \end{bmatrix}$



Observations: Transformation Matrix Test Day Model

For random regression evaluations like Fertility, Udder health Production test day model is an example that needs both **F** and **D** matrix

- INPUT : DRP from cumulative 305 day breeding values
- ANALYZED : Legendre regression polynomes
- OUTPUT : Production on day 60 of lactation

Construction of matrices

- For F 305 day factors needed: s = [212.84 - 102.16 - 57.96 - 1.12 32.48]'

• For **D** factors needed for day 60: $\mathbf{t} = [0.707 -0.900 \ 0.491 \ 0.206]$ -0.794]'



Observations: Transformation matrix TDM

Example: Three lactations, 5 legendre regressions per lactations $F = s \otimes I_3 =$

212.8391	0	0	-102.156	0	0	-57.9619	0	0	-1.1099	0	0	32.4796	0	0
0	212.8391	0	0	-102.156	0	0	-57.9619	0	0	-1.1099	0	0	32.4796	o
0	0	212.8391	0	0	-102.156	0	0	-57.9619	0	0	-1.1099	0	0	32.4796

D = t 🛇 I₃ =

0,70711	0	0	-0,90011	0	0	0,49048	0	0	0,20577	0	0	-0,79362	0	o
0	0,70711	0	0	-0,90011	0	0	0,49048	0	0	0,20577	0	0	-0,79362	0
0	0	0,70711	0	0	-0,90011	0	0	0,49048	0	0	0,20577	0	0	-0,79362

Т



1

Observations: Transformation matrix TDM

Application example: Three lactations of milk production in kg

 $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}')(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}')^{-1}$

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.55 \times 10^{-3} & -3.59 \times 10^{-4} & -1.92 \times 10^{-4} \\ 6.01 \times 10^{-5} & 3.52 \times 10^{-3} & -5.07 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.50 \times 10^{-4} & -1.33 \times 10^{-4} & 2.85 \times 10^{-3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} +1100\\ +1300\\ +1400 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathbf{o} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} +3.2\\ +3.9\\ +4.3 \end{bmatrix}$$



Observations

Transformation for every animal with DRP with a simple function

Transformation matrix **T** is a constant, needs to be constructed only once.

Accounts for genetic correlations among traits

Applicable to a variety of models, input DRP



Repeat records



Repeat records

Number of repeat records determines reliability of DRP information in genetic evaluations

At a reliability *r* of DRP we can calculate *expected own contributions* (EOC)

$$EOC = \frac{1-h^2}{h^2} \times \frac{r}{1-r}$$

Example: If $h^2 = 0.20$ and r = 0.75 then EOC = 3 \rightarrow Number of repeat records



Repeat records

Number of repeat records determines reliability of DRP information in genetic evaluations

At a reliability *r* of DRP we can calculate *expected own contributions* (EOC)

$$EOC = \frac{1-h^2}{h^2} \times \frac{r}{1-r}$$

Example: If $h^2 = 0.20$ and r = 0.75 then EOC = 3 \rightarrow Number of repeat records

But...

Calculated this way, the EOC is valid for single trait analysis only.

• Does not account for correlations between traits in MT evaluations



Determining number of repeat records

- Reliability is a function $\mathbf{r} = rel_{liu}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Y})$
 - G is the genetic covariance matrix
 - F is a 'phi' matrix comparable to before (for TDM: 305 day matrix)
 - Y is a MT-EDC matrix following Liu et al. (2001)
 - But **Y** is enumerated using **D** (for TDM: day 60 matrix)

The objective is to find a \mathbf{Y}_{est} such that $\mathbf{r}_{est} \approx \mathbf{r}_{DRP}$



Determining number of repeat records

- Reliability is a function $\mathbf{r} = rel_{liu}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Y})$
 - G is the genetic covariance matrix
 - F is a 'phi' matrix comparable to before (for TDM: 305 day matrix)
 - Y is a MT-EDC matrix following Liu et al. (2001)
 - But **Y** is enumerated using **D** (for TDM: day 60 matrix)

The objective is to find a \mathbf{Y}_{est} such that $\mathbf{r}_{est} \approx \mathbf{r}_{DRP}$

$\mathbf{Y} = 4\mathbf{O}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}$

- Z is a genetic effect matrix comparable to D (day 60 matrix) in the previous
- **R** is the residual covariance matrix (the one used in analysis)
- O is a diagonal matrix with number of repeats per trait



Repeat records: Iterative approach

Goal: To optimize the matrix **O** such that $\mathbf{r}_{est} \approx \mathbf{r}_{DRP}$

Start: Let **O** = **E** (single trait EOC of input traits, DRP)

- 1. Calculate $\mathbf{Y} = 4(\mathbf{O}\mathbf{Z})^{\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}}$
- 2. Calculate $\mathbf{r}_{est} = rel_{liu}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Y})$
- 3. Compare \mathbf{r}_{est} with \mathbf{r}_{DRP}
 - a. If $\mathbf{r}(i)_{est} > \mathbf{r}(i)_{DRP} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(i,i) = \mathbf{O}(i,i) 1$ (minimum value 0)
 - b. If $\mathbf{r}(i)_{\text{est}} < \mathbf{r}(i)_{\text{DRP}} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(i,i) = \mathbf{O}(i,i) + 1$
- 4. Repeat until convergence or until O stops changing.



Final remarks

Method provides capability to use DRP as observations on existing traits Unified approach, valid for all types of models, DRP

• Single trait, indices, random regressions

No additional correlated traits

- No need for additional software
- Same statistical model, parameters and matrices
- Same output format

Approach is being implemented

Application to all test day model traits and claw health traits Further implementation for all evaluations planned for later this year





