#### INTRODUCTION

The latest routine international evaluation for calving traits took place as scheduled at the Interbull Centre. Data from seventeen (17) countries were included in this evaluation.

International genetic evaluations for calving traits of bulls from Australia, Austria-Germany, Belgium, Canada, Denmark-Finland-Sweden, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, Slovack Republic and the United States of America were computed. Brown Swiss, Holstein, and Red Dairy Cattle breed data were included in this evaluation.

# CHANGES IN NATIONAL PROCEDURES

Changes in the national genetic evaluation of calving traits are as follows:

NOR RDC

Standard deviation changed from 10 to 12, this mimicks the expected effect of future change to a cow-base. The rolling definition of hys is causing the daughters to distribute somewhat differently over hys-classes at each evaluation. Therefore some bulls occasionally may loose EDC although the number of daughters stay the same. Reliability changes is a function of the EDC changes. Further explanations for MCE: Bulls are modeled by sire of calf and sire of cow as correlated traits. Results are transformed to direct and maternal effect. Direct effect is more stable, because direct=sire of calf. Whereas, maternal= sire of dam - 0.5sire of calf.

BEL HOL

Decreases in the number of herds but also in the number of daughters could be explained by the existence of "breed" alias. These decreases can lead to decreases in EDC and in reliability.

FRA HOL/MON Base change, missing bulls: they are not missing, we corrected BSW/SIM their ID (alias was sent in the previous run). Some decrease in information

RED

which are expected

DEA BSW

Multi trait evaluation with gestation length, new parameters Fix a problem with the data from particularly 1 Austrian region in the early 90s after the January test run. This leads to some larger EBV changes mainly for bulls born in the late 80s and early 90s. Through relationships this influences the EBVs also of a few younger bulls, but not many

ISR HOL

new animal model algorithm

ITA HOL

base change+ one year cut off of data

DEU HOL/RDC data editing is more strict than previously, Previously herds were partly divided into subsettings, this distinction is now neglected, therefore the number of bulls with decrease in number of herds is quite large.

NZL (ALL) Data provided by a new organization Dairy NewZealand (DNZ): daughter counts, herd counts and EDC have changed due to parentage verification. Herd count is now calculated on the daughters that are used to estimate breeding values.

CAN BSW/GUE Change in base for all traits, and some changes in correlations for years with HOL/JER fewer bulls.

RDC

CHE BSW New trait definition for calving ease and stillbirth, Data cut-off has been altered. lost of information is due to changes in data edits and we exclude calving information from twins. New software for type of proofs, implemented new rules for publication of proofs, new genetic parameters

Base change, changed procedure to estimate reliabilities and EDC, parentage ITA BSW correction. Changed formula to standardized the ebv. Since testrun we have upply a new rolling base for all traits that change every run

SVK HOL First time (dce, mce)

NOR RDC Standard deviation of RBV changed from 10 to 12. This mimicks the

expected effect of future change to a cow-base.

NZL BSW/JER In conjunction with LIC, we identified an undocumented feature (i.e. bug) HOL/RDC in the current pre-processing of fertility records and also use of a

historic data table for calving difficulty and fertility for records older than 2 years. After considerable testing, we have rectified the bug and now re-extract any old phenotypic data from the database at each run. This is why you see a lower correlation between NZAEL (DairyNZ) Test Run

and LIC Routine Run.

DEA BSW New data preparation - multi-trait evaluation calving ease with

gestation length (old: calving ease and stillbirth) - new genetic parameters

CHE BSW Changed the deduction of type of proofs for all traits. Implemented new rules

for the publication of proofs, Various changes in data edits, New genetic parameters for all traits, New trait definition for calving ease and stillbirth, Data cut-off has been altered. Loss of information is due to changes in data edits. Now we include only data from 7.2001 (before

from 10.1993) and we exclude calving information from twins

ISR HOL In this evaluation is by our new animal model algorithm, and is therefore quite different from the previous evaluation. The reason for reduction in

the numbers of daughters and herds is due to the new restriction on days

pregnant from 261 to 292 days. Base change

INTERBULL CHANGES COMPARED TO THE DECEMBER ROUTINE RUN

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Subsetting:

GUE

\_\_\_\_\_

As decided by the ITC in Orlando, new subsetting was introduced in the september test run. Sub-setting is necessary for operational purposes and restrictions of time scales. To minimize the effect of subsetting, larger subsets with 10-12 countries and with 4 link providing countries have been applied.

### Window:

\_\_\_\_

According to the decision taken by ITC in Orlando, the following changes have been introduced in regards to the windows used for post processing:

The upper bounds have been set to 0.99 as these were judged to have very little effect on evaluations. The lower values have been set to about the 25% percentile value. The largest changes are for the lower values for conformation traits, with the lowest window being 40% for OFL otherwise it is about 50% for all other confirmation traits. It is anticipated that these low values may not have large impact on evaluations since there were very few countries combinations whose estimated correlations fell between the old limit of 0.30 and these new limits.

## DATA AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Data were national genetic evaluations of AI sampled bulls with at least 10 daughters or 10 EDC (for clinical mastitis and maternal calving traits at least 50 daughters or 50 EDC, and for direct calving traits at least 50 calvings or 50 EDC) in at least 10 herds. Table 1 presents the amount of data included in this Interbull evaluation for all breeds.

National proofs were first de-regressed within country and then analysed jointly with a linear model including the effects of evaluation country, genetic group of bull and bull merit. Heritability estimates used in both the de-regression and international evaluation were as in each country's national evaluation.

Table 2 presents the date of evaluation as supplied by each country

Estimated genetic parameters and sire standard deviations are shown in APPENDIX I and the corresponding number of common bulls are listed in APPENDIX II.

#### SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE

\_\_\_\_\_

The international genetic evaluation procedure is based on international work described in the following scientific publications:

International genetic evaluation computation:
 Schaeffer. 1994. J. Dairy Sci. 77:2671-2678
 Klei, 1998. Interbull Bulletin 17:3-7

Verification and Genetic trend validation: Klei et al., 2002. Interbull Bulletin 29:178-182. Boichard et al., 1995. J. Dairy Sci. 78:431-437

Weighting factors:

Fikse and Banos, 2001. J. Dairy Sci. 84:1759-1767

De-regression:

Sigurdsson and G. Banos. 1995. Acta Agric. Scand. 45:207-219 Jairath et al. 1998. J. Dairy Sci. Vol. 81:550-562

Genetic parameter estimation:

Klei and Weigel, 1998, Interbull Bulletin 17:8-14 Sullivan, 1999. Interbull Bulletin 22:146-148

Post-processing of estimated genetic correlations:

Mark et al., 2003, Interbull Bulletin 30:126-135

Jorjani et al., 2003. J. Dairy Sci. 86:677-679

https://wiki.interbull.org/public/rG%20procedure?action=print

Time edits

Weigel and Banos. 1997. J. Dairy Sci. 80:3425-3430

International reliability estimation
 Harris and Johnson. 1998. Interbull Bulletin 17:31-36

NEXT ROUTINE INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Dates for the next routine evaluation can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar.

NEXT TEST INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Dates for the next test run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar.

PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL TEST RUN

-----

Test evaluation results are meant for review purposes only and should not be published.

^LTable 1. National evaluation data considered in the Interbull evaluation for calving (April Routine Evaluation 2016). Number of records for direct calving ease by breed

Country			HOL		RDC	SIM
AUS			1838			
BEL			662			
CAN	128		11234		422	
CHE	1673		1890			
CZE						
DEA	4939		15156		0.0.4	
DEU			17156		224	
DFS			11565		6477	
ESP						
EST	200		11055			
FRA	302		11055			
FRM						
FRR			0154			
GBR			2154			
HUN			1533		F 7	
IRL			1720		57	
ISR			349			
ITA			9275			
JPN						
KOR LTU						
LVA	79		12870		31	
NLD NOR	19		12870		3564	
NZL			6179		908	
POL			01/9		900	
PRT						
SVK			595			
SVN			393			
URY						
USA	475		32257			
ZAF	475		32237			
HRV						
No.Records	7596		122332		11683	
Pub. Proofs	8072	0	113904	0	11530	0
	00.2	ű		•	11000	ŭ

 $^{\text{LAPPENDIX}}$  I. Sire standard deviations in diagonal and genetic correlations below diagonal

BSW	dce					
	DEA	NLD	USA	CHE	CAN	FRA
DEA	9.81					
NLD	0.90	6.08				
USA	0.78	0.79	0.13			
CHE	0.93	0.92	0.78	13.17		
CAN	0.79	0.87	0.81	0.82	7.34	
FRA	0.81	0.91	0.85	0.85	0.87	0.75
BSW	mce					
	DEA	NLD	USA	CHE	CAN	FRA
DEA	1000					
DEA	10.82					
NLD	0.68	5.26				
		5.26 0.84	0.15			
NLD	0.68 0.79 0.91	0.84 0.79	0.88	17.19		
NLD USA	0.68 0.79	0.84	0.88		6.48 0.84	0.94

HOL	dce											
	AUS	CAN	CHE	DFS	FRA	ISR	ITA	NLD	USA	GBR	HUN	DEU
BEL	IRL	NZL	SVK									
AUS	3.15											
CAN	0.74	6.31										
CHE	0.75	0.89	12.25									
DFS	0.81	0.91	0.90	12.64								
FRA	0.80	0.91	0.94	0.92	0.93							
ISR	0.81	0.90	0.87	0.88	0.89	2.88						
ITA	0.64	0.71	0.77	0.75	0.72	0.79	7.21					
NLD	0.83	0.89	0.89	0.93	0.92	0.87	0.73	6.42				
USA	0.72	0.80	0.82	0.83	0.89	0.83	0.68	0.80	0.13			
GBR	0.80	0.81	0.78	0.76	0.78	0.82	0.68	0.83	0.68	0.07		
HUN	0.67	0.71	0.78	0.71	0.71	0.80	0.71	0.71	0.68	0.71	1.26	
DEU	0.80	0.85	0.89	0.91	0.91	0.83	0.70	0.89	0.78	0.80	0.71	11.71
BEL	0.60	0.68	0.77	0.70	0.69	0.81	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.71	0.68
10.85												
IRL	0.67	0.78	0.79	0.82	0.81	0.89	0.65	0.81	0.75	0.66	0.68	0.74
0.66	1.53											
NZL	0.68	0.76	0.78	0.82	0.77	0.79	0.72	0.77	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.76
0.68	0.81	3.16										
SVK	0.72	0.78	0.79	0.78	0.78	0.83	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.78	0.77
0.78	0.79	0.78	12.48									
HOL	mce											
	CAN	CHE	DFS	 FRA	ISR	ITA	NLD	USA	 GBR	HUN	DEU	BEL
SVK	CAN	Спь	DES	AA1	121	IIA	מתוו	USA	GDN	HON	DEO	реп
CAN	6.58											
CHE	0.88	13.98										
DFS	0.84	0.73	12.46									
FRA	0.92	0.96	0.78	1.31								
ISR	0.75	0.72	0.80	0.75	2.63							
ITA	0.78	0.85	0.58	0.83	0.66	9.29						
NLD	0.82	0.82	0.81	0.84	0.70	0.63	5.36					
USA	0.90	0.88	0.77	0.95	0.80	0.82	0.84	0.15				
GBR	0.67	0.79	0.60	0.80	0.68	0.68	0.69	0.73	0.05			
HUN	0.55	0.56	0.55	0.55	0.60	0.55	0.56	0.55	0.56	1.24		
DEU	0.87	0.75	0.92	0.79	0.75	0.66	0.81	0.78	0.59	0.55	11.35	
BEL	0.64	0.63	0.74	0.71	0.64	0.56	0.75	0.68	0.61	0.56	0.71	11.20
SVK	0.56	0.58	0.56	0.56	0.66	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.57	0.56	0.56	0.58
15.68												
HOL	dsb											
AIIC	AUS	CAN	CHE	DFS	FRA	ISR	ITA	NLD	USA	HUN	DEU	
AUS	3.17	6 05										
CAN	0.53	6.85	16 27									
CHE	0.44	0.57	16.37	10 (4								
DFS	0.80	0.74		13.64	0 74							
FRA	0.42	0.68	0.55 0.46	0.62 0.81	0.74 0.56	1 70						
ISR	0.80	0.70		0.81	0.56	1.78	7 21					
ITA NLD	0.77	0.49	0.36 0.62	0.76	0.44	0.61 0.52	7.21 0.41	3.78				
USA	0.39	0.70	0.62	0.63	0.63	0.52	0.41	0.60	0.07			
HUN	0.39	0.50	0.39	0.52	0.87	0.32	0.41	0.80	0.07	1.10		
DEU	0.74	0.69	0.54	0.32	0.59	0.73	0.58	0.39	0.41	0.50	11.44	
OHO	0.71	0.09	0.04	0.09	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.50	TT.44	

\_\_\_\_\_

HOL msb

HOL MSD

		AN	СН	E	DFS	FRA	ISR	ITA	NLD	USA	HUN	DEU	
CAN CHE DFS	6.: 0.:	87	20.2		12.95								
FRA	0.		0.8		0.87	0.92							
ISR	0.		0.8		0.88	0.80	1.77						
ITA	0.		0.5		0.48	0.53	0.67	9.29	4 20				
NLD USA	0.		0.8		0.94	0.81 0.82	0.81 0.81	0.46 0.49	4.28 0.75	0.13			
HUN	0.		0.5			0.49	0.53		0.49		1.22		
DEU	0.	94	0.7		0.95	0.84	0.89	0.52	0.90	0.76		11.84	
RDC	dce												
			DF		NOD	NI D	DEU	 TDT	N7T				
CAN	6.		DE	٥	NOK	ИПП	DEO	TVT	IN ZI LI				
DFS	0.		9.2	0									
NOR	0.	89	0.9		15.11								
NLD	0.		0.9			4.73							
DEU IRL	0.		0.9			0.89 0.82	0.76	0.01					
NZL	0.		0.8				0.79		2.74				
RDC	mce												
CAN	C		DF	S	NOR	DEU							
CAN DFS	6.1 0.		10.7	5									
NOR	0.		0.7		16.09								
DEU	0.	85	0.8	3	0.77	9.50							
^LAPPI	 ENDIX I	I. N	Jumber	of	common	bulls							
BSW													
	on bull:					, ,							
commo					group CAN	above d FRA	liagonal						
DEA	0	 55	159	419	73	 177							
NLD	42	0	21	32	13	34							
USA			0			75							
CHE CAN			137 76		73 0	122 50							
	133	24	55	89	45	0							
BSW													
	on bull:	s be	h wol	iagoi	nal								
	on three	e qu	arter	sib		above d	iagonal						
DEA	0	 55	93 	371	28								
NLD		0		30									
USA		18		86									
CHE		27		0		85							
CAN		6	20	22		21							
FRA	101	26	42	66	19	0							

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

common bulls below diagonal

common three quarter sib group above diagonal

00111110	AUS	CAN	CHE	DFS	FRA	ISR	ITA	NLD	USA	GBR	HUN	DEU	BEL	IRL	NZL	SVK
7110			245	400	475		E10	410		204	246	F 2 0	220		121	99
AUS	0	543	245	482	475	38	513	410	621	294	246	539	230	282	434	
CAN	503	0	510	1084	1058	56	1401	764	2598	508	578	1734	330	361	543	233
CHE	202	392	0	366	377	22	436	347	561	222	209	669	251	225	221	101
DFS	360	774	299	0	1210	74	1310	1266	1591	616	491	1843	352	449	634	226
FRA	374	708	322	578	0	65	1475	1144	1772	682	557	1675	390	443	579	229
ISR	23	40	13	58	36	0	67	74	77	37	37	70	24	47	58	20
ITA	389	908	349	780	729	44	0	1118	2242	712	611	1959	366	446	631	251
NLD	241	359	249	632	374	53	448	0	1505	607	416	1745	353	467	708	236
USA	527	2548	452	987	865	62	1196	687	0	790	718	2502	360	481	801	290
GBR	228	317	174	272	272	13	337	198	386	0	315	782	221	320	323	129
HUN	179	468	152	321	334	26	426	202	559	174	0	721	199	222	274	149
DEU	432	1124	548	1139	773	55	1025	947	1501	343	477	0	466	550	667	427
BEL	224	314	245	325	399	15	333	312	319	181	161	457	0	238	222	95
IRL	270	339	219	390	389	31	388	345	443	276	193	509	239	0	475	96
NZL	397	476	188	432	336	47	444	521	723	167	180	507	193	432	0	138
SVK	53	162	46	114	138	9	154	111	199	43	98	330	54	44	82	0

HOL

\_\_\_\_\_

common bulls below diagonal

common three quarter sib group above diagonal

COmmin	J11 C111	८८ पुर	aur cc.	L 0 T 10	group	o abo	v C CL	1901141						
	CAN	CHE	DFS	FRA	ISR	ITA	NLD	USA	GBR	HUN	DEU	BEL	SVK	
CAN	0	440	845	840	48	1050	638	1717	444	541	1492	215	180	
CHE	321	0	373	347	23	407	394	482	252	221	649	176	84	
DFS	620	308	0	1207	77	1169	1330	1378	515	557	2020	246	181	
FRA	511	297	503	0	67	1285	1210	1571	451	577	1772	266	171	
ISR	33	13	55	33	0	67	76	79	46	47	84	15	16	
ITA	699	322	704	570	42	0	1030	1738	506	618	1779	240	196	
NLD	422	320	794	457	58	537	0	1340	466	508	1975	276	195	
USA	1514	389	877	684	58	993	738	0	570	741	2278	245	223	
GBR	457	240	482	407	31	518	452	610	0	310	582	156	98	
HUN	447	167	379	327	29	450	319	614	290	0	797	145	137	
DEU	875	526	1085	698	62	934	1177	1264	595	539	0	325	280	
BEL	199	160	216	257	9	196	240	205	157	117	280	0	49	
SVK	125	40	85	86	6	122	102	154	55	101	194	25	0	

HOL

-----

common bulls below diagonal

common three quarter sib group above diagonal

	AUS	CAN	CHE	DFS	FRA	ISR	ITA	NLD	USA	HUN	DEU	
AUS	0	383	170	345	286	22	359	359	426	136	376	
CAN	310	0	508	1051	914	52	1363	1058	2324	487	1720	
CHE	125	392	0	374	365	22	435	489	526	185	671	
DFS	205	807	308	0	1063	76	1330	1535	1493	447	1882	
FRA	189	647	314	542	0	54	1293	1183	1376	471	1578	
ISR	9	39	13	59	34	0	67	77	73	35	70	
ITA	199	905	349	808	637	44	0	1395	2083	535	1956	
NLD	242	911	436	1122	705	64	910	0	1669	461	2189	
USA	298	2339	421	979	660	60	1114	1194	0	582	2319	
HUN	72	384	131	292	281	26	367	309	436	0	635	
DEU	237	1128	550	1191	738	55	1025	1651	1390	423	0	

HOL

\_\_\_\_\_

```
common bulls below diagonal
common three quarter sib group above diagonal
   CAN CHE DFS FRA ISR ITA NLD USA HUN DEU
 ______
 CAN 0 437 831 769 47 1032 761 1531 468 1453
 CHE 320 0 381 340 23 406 464 446 202 643
 DFS 635 311 0 1107 78 1175 1460 1170 512 2036
 FRA 486 289 488 0 61 1184 1189 1190 501 1648 ISR 33 13 54 30 0 67 80 71 44 84 ITA 696 321 718 523 42 0 1157 1467 558 1764 NLD 622 409 1013 573 62 718 0 1244 504 2150 USA 1422 365 873 566 56 950 874 0 589 1917 HUN 387 151 345 292 27 402 348 513 0 717 DEU 840 516 1100 637 62 911 1463 1141 474 0
______
RDC
common bulls below diagonal
common three quarter sib group above diagonal
  CAN DFS NOR NLD DEU IRL NZL
______
 CAN 0 114 4 3 10 3 43
 DFS 114 0 104 24 53 18 93
 NOR 4
          77 0 14 20 50 32
     3 24 13
10 47 20
                 0 11 8 9
11 0 7 17
7 7 0 9
9 16 9 0
 NLD
          47 20
14 48
 DEU
      3
 IRL
     43 79 30
 NZL
 ______
RDC
common bulls below diagonal
common three quarter sib group above diagonal
   CAN DFS NOR DEU
 ______
 CAN 0 74 3 6
 DFS 71 0 108 37
 NOR 3 81 0 12
```

DEU 6 30 12 0