\_\_\_\_\_\_

The latest genomic routine international evaluation for **calving traits** took place as scheduled at the Interbull Centre. Data from 16 countries were included in this evaluation.

International genetic evaluations for calving traits of bulls from Australia, Austria-Germany, Belgium, Canada, Denmark-Finland-Sweden, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, and the United States of America were computed. Holstein data were included in this evaluation.

BEL, CAN, DEU, DFS, GBR, ITA, NLD submitted GEBVs.

dce: BEL, CAN, DEU, DFS, GBR, ITA, NLD

dsb: CAN, DEU, DFS, , ITA, NLD

mce: CAN, DEU, DFS, GBR, ITA, NLD

msb: CAN, DEU, DFS, , ITA, NLD

#### CHANGES IN NATIONAL PROCEDURES

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Changes in the national genetic evaluation of calving traits are as follows:

CAN HOL Corrected some coding of proof types to better reflect the information included in the GEBV calculations for each bull

# INTERBULL CHANGES COMPARED TO THE DECEMBER ROUTINE RUN

\_\_\_\_\_\_

No changes in Interbull procedures

#### DATA AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS

-----

Eleven Holstein populations sent GEBV data for up to 38 traits, while classical EBVs for the same traits were used in the analyses. Young bull GEBVs from the GEBV providers have been converted to the scales of all countries participating in classical MACE. A bull will get a MACE EBV or a GMACE EBV but not both.

From those eleven countries, National GEBVs of bulls less than seven years of age and with no classical MACE proofs were included for the breeding value prediction with a further requirement of either a MACE-PA or a GMACE-PA (for young genomic bulls with young genomic sires) being available.

The parameter-space approach is used for the GMACE genetic evaluations (Sullivan, 2016)

# SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE

\_\_\_\_\_\_

The international genetic evaluation procedure is based on international work described in the following scientific publications:

Sullivan, P.G. 2016. Defining a Parameter Space for GMACE. Interbull Bulletin 50, p 85-93.

VanRaden, P.M. and Sullivan, P.G. 2010. International genomic evaluation methods for dairy cattle. Gen. Sel. Evol. 42:7

Sullivan, P.G. and Jakobsen, J.H. 2012. Robust GMACE for young bulls' methodology. Interbull Bulletin 45, Article 1.

Sullivan, P.G. 2012a. GMACE reliability approximation. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE rels 2013

Sullivan, P.G. 2012b. GMACE variance estimation. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE vce 2013

Sullivan, P.G. 2012c. GMACE Weighting Factors. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE gedcs 2013

Jakobsen, J.H. and Sullivan, P.G. 2013. Trait specific computation of shared reference population. Reference sharing Nov 2013

# NEXT ROUTINE INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Dates for next routine run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar

# NEXT TEST INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

\_\_\_\_\_

Dates for next routine run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar

# PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL ROUTINE RUN

\_\_\_\_\_

Results were distributed by the Interbull Centre to designated representatives in each country. The international evaluation file comprised international proofs expressed on the base and unit of each country included in the analysis. Such records readily provide more information on bull performance in various countries, thereby minimizing the need to resort to conversions.

At the same time, all recipients of Interbull results are expected to honor the agreed code of practice, decided by the Interbull Steering Committee, and only publish international evaluations on their own country scale.

Evaluations expressed on another country scale are confidential and may only be used internally for research and review purposes.

Table 1. National evaluation dates in GMACE run August 2017

\_\_\_\_\_

Country	Date
CAN DFS ITA NLD GBR DEU BEL	20170801 20170306 20170712 20170801 20170605 20170808 20170801
======	=======================================

```
Table 2.
```

```
Number of bulls in reference population for
_____
CAN 30378.0
DFS 2319.0 26714.0
ITA 26942.0 1529.0 27413.0
NLD 2618.0 26078.0 1822.0 28170.0
GBR 27705.0 2206.0 25962.0 2470.0 28175.0
DEU 2725.0 26042.0 1954.0 26639.0 2561.0 28360.0
BEL 1182.0 855.0 1085.0 960.0 840.0 969.0 2105.0
_____
Number of bulls in reference population for
______
CAN 24536.0
DFS 2257.0 27206.0
ITA 21956.0 1518.0 22300.0
NLD 2522.0 26585.0 1775.0 28022.0
GBR 22025.0 2155.0 20909.0 2388.0 22399.0
DEU 2606.0 26581.0 1885.0 27069.0 2459.0 28740.0
_____
Number of bulls in reference population for
_____
CAN 27945.0
DFS 2305.0 26417.0
ITA 24683.0 1520.0 25149.0
NLD 2590.0 25789.0 1799.0 27268.0
DEU 2693.0 25745.0 1933.0 26247.0 27916.0
_____
Number of bulls in reference population for msb
______
CAN 22885.0
DFS 2233.0 27369.0
ITA 20413.0 1507.0 20754.0
NLD 2495.0 26756.0 1755.0 28195.0
DEU 2585.0 26754.0 1877.0 27331.0 28965.0
```