INTRODUCTION

The latest genomic test international evaluation for udder traits took place as scheduled at the Interbull Centre. Data from 21 countries were included in this evaluation.

International genetic evaluations for udder health traits of bulls were computed from: AUS BEL CAN CHE CZE DEU DFS ESP FRA GBR HUN IRL ISR ITA NLD NZL POL SVN USA ZAF JPN Holstein data were included in this evaluation.

BEL, CAN, DEU, ESP, FRA, DFS, GBR, ITA, NLD, POL, HUN submitted GEBVs.

mas: , CAN, DEU, ESP, FRA, DFS, , ITA, NLD, POL, scs: BEL, CAN, DEU, ESP, FRA, DFS, GBR, ITA, NLD, POL, HUN

CHANGES IN NATIONAL PROCEDURES

Changes in the national genetic evaluation of uder traits are as follows:

GBR (HOL) Loss of about 300 bulls in this run compared to previous run. Due to improved QA with such that all clones are removed, removal of animals failing parentage check, removal of some invalid genotypes, some identities have been updated from Herd book numbers to eartags between the runs

HUN (HOL) Changes due to updated pedigrees for some bulls. This affects animals that have a USA or 840 sire.

INTERBULL CHANGES COMPARED TO THE DECEMBER ROUTINE RUN

No changes in Interbull procedures

DATA AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Thirteen Holstein populations sent GEBV data for up to 38 traits, while classical EBVs for the same traits were used in the analyses. Young bull GEBVs from the GEBV providers have been converted to the scales of all countries participating in classical MACE. A bull will get a MACE EBV or a GMACE EBV but not both.

From those thirteen countries, National GEBVs of bulls less than seven years of age and with no classical MACE proofs were included for the breeding value prediction with a further requirement of either a MACE-PA or a GMACE-PA (for young genomic bulls with young genomic sires) being available.

The parameter-space approach is used for the GMACE genetic evaluations (Sullivan, 2016)

SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE

The international genetic evaluation procedure is based on international work

described in the following scientific publications:

Sullivan, P.G. 2016. Defining a Parameter Space for GMACE. Interbull Bulletin 50, p 85-93.

VanRaden, P.M. and Sullivan, P.G. 2010. International genomic evaluation methods for dairy cattle. Gen. Sel. Evol. 42:7

Sullivan, P.G. and Jakobsen, J.H. 2012. Robust GMACE for young bulls methodology. Interbull Bulletin 45, Article 1.

Sullivan, P.G. 2012a. GMACE reliability approximation. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE_rels 2013

Sullivan, P.G. 2012b. GMACE variance estimation. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE_vce 2013

Sullivan, P.G. 2012c. GMACE Weighting Factors. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE_gedcs 2013

Jakobsen, J.H. and Sullivan, P.G. 2013. Trait specific computation of shared reference population. Reference sharing Nov 2013

NEXT ROUTINE INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for next routine run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar

NEXT TEST INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for next routine run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar

PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL ROUTINE RUN

Results were distributed by the Interbull Centre to designated representatives in each country. The international evaluation file comprised international proofs expressed on the base and unit of each country included in the analysis. Such records readily provide more information on bull performance in various countries, thereby minimising the need to resort to conversions.

At the same time, all recipients of Interbull results are expected to honour the agreed code of practice, decided by the Interbull Steering Committee, and only publish international evaluations on their own country scale. Evaluations expressed on another country scale are confidential and may only be used internally for research and review purposes.

Table 1. National evaluation dates in GMACE run December 2021

Country Date _____ 20211201 DEU 20211207 DFS 20211102 FRA 20211208 GBR 20211105 NLD20211201 ITA 20211104 HUN 20211122 BEL 20201201 ESP 20211115 POL 20211207 ______

Table 2.

Number of bulls in reference population for scs

CAN 42329.0

DEU 8816.0 44162.0

DFS 5061.0 38047.0 39096.0

FRA 4164.0 34960.0 34441.0 36761.0

GBR 35477.0 9063.0 5156.0 4217.0 37911.0

NLD 4253.0 36819.0 36190.0 34472.0 4528.0 38790.0

ITA 33954.0 6935.0 3799.0 3274.0 33030.0 3336.0 34867.0

HUN 2042.0 7960.0 7440.0 7239.0 2164.0 7611.0 1880.0 8530.0

BEL 727.0 728.0 637.0 710.0 687.0 743.0 706.0 513.0 1730.0

ESP 5918.0 39172.0 38262.0 35055.0 6028.0 36827.0 4353.0 7816.0 703.0 40340.0

POL 4592.0 33084.0 32890.0 30516.0 4635.0 32031.0 3327.0 7470.0 996.0 33460.0 34857.0

Number of bulls in reference population for mas

```
CAN 24870.0

DEU 6982.0 29201.0

DFS 4241.0 25264.0 26105.0

FRA 3557.0 23275.0 22968.0 24828.0

NLD 3508.0 24102.0 23733.0 22860.0 25534.0

ITA 19242.0 5807.0 3350.0 2903.0 2843.0 19627.0

HUN 1907.0 4215.0 3745.0 3648.0 3866.0 1786.0 4649.0

ESP 4859.0 26069.0 25433.0 23335.0 24117.0 3841.0 4070.0 26937.0

POL 3781.0 20288.0 20263.0 18901.0 19394.0 2881.0 3755.0 20597.0 21864.0
```