

#### Alternative Approaches to handling of missisng parents in genetic evaluation of dairy cattle using single-step test-day SNP-BLUP model

Dawid Słomian, Kacper Żukowski, Monika Skarwecka, Jan Ten Napel, Jeremie Vandenplas, Joanna Szyda

#### Introduction

- Pedigree structure
- Missing data in pedigree
  - Set as missing
  - Genetic Groups
  - Metafounders
- Challenge: How to deal with missing parents?





#### **Purpose of the study**

- Compare three approaches to handling missing parents
- Scenario comparison:
  - Validation results
  - GEBV comparison
  - Assessment of average GEBV trend







#### **Scenarios**



- Levels of missing parental information:
  - **P\_Real**: Routine pedigree (5.6% missing sires, 15.3% missing dams)
  - P\_2010: Intermediate missingness (~10% sires, ~20% dams missing for animals born before 2019)
  - P\_4020: High missingness (~20% sires, ~40% dams missing for animals born before 2019)
- Approaches to handling missing parents:
  - Raw pedigree (RP): IDs of missing parents set as missing
  - Genetic groups (GG): Missing parents replaced by unrelated genetic groups
  - Metafounders (MF): Missing parents replaced by metafounders





	Sex	Number of animals	Number of records	
Phenotype data (fat yield) h <sup>2</sup> =0.29	Cows	3,707,727	Full data set	63,615,019
			Truncated data set	58,446,695
Genotype data	Cows	113,019		101 001
	Bulls	68,972	181,991	
Pedigree data	Cows	4,569,044		4 712 142
	Bulls	143,099	4,/12,143	

• Polish national evaluation April 2024

## Single-step test day SNP-BLUP model

$$y = Xh + Wf + Vp + Vu + e$$



- $\mathbf{y}$  cow's test day fat yield records in the first 3 lactations
- h fixed effects of herd-test-date-parity-milking-frequency
- ${\bf f}$  fixed lactation curve effects, modeled as regression on DIM using the Wilmink function
- **p** permanent environmental effects
- u additive genetic effects
- e residuals

#### **Results**

482,810 validation cows:

• Born between 2019 and 2022

562 validation bulls:

- Sires of cows that were removed for validation
- > 20 daughters
- Born between 2017-2020







#### **Validation results**

b<sub>1</sub> (slope)





101

### **Validation results**

b<sub>0</sub> (intercept)





#### **Validation results**

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ 



101





Comparison of GEBV (Full vs Truncated) for sires across scenarios





Comparison of GEBV (Full vs Truncated) for sires across scenarios







#### Comparison of GEBV (Full vs Truncated) for sires across scenarios

Average GEBV trend



Deal with missing parents 🔶 RP 📥 GG 🖷 MF 🛛 Pedigree scenario 🔶 Real 🔶 2010 🔶 4020

#### Conclusions



- GEBV accuracy is impacted by the handling of missing parents (GG, MF)
- Missing parental information may result in overdispersion of GEBV
- Evaluation reliability is increased with more genotyped animals and more pedigree completeness
- Handling of missing parents is increasingly important with increasing incomplete pedigree
- Metafounders > Genetic Groups > Raw Pedigree

#### **Thank You!**





# dawid.slomian@iz.edu.pl

2 Ingle

ICn.

#### **Unrealted genetic groups**

• Created based on country of origin, birth of year and sex

Country	Year of birth	Male	Female
	< 1960	-99	-99
POL	1960-1969	-1	-2
USA/CAN	1960-1969	-3	-4
OTHERS	1960-1969	-5	-6
POL	1970-1979	-7	-8
USA/CAN	1970-1979	-9	-10
OTHERS	1970-1979	-11	-12
POL	1980-1989	-13	-14
USA/CAN	1980-1989	-15	-16
OTHERS	1980-1989	-17	-18
POL	1990-1999	-19	-20
USA/CAN	1990-1999	-21	-22
OTHERS	1990-1999	-23	-24
POL	2000-2009	-25	-26
USA/CAN	2000-2009	-27	-28
OTHERS	2000-2009	-29	-30
POL	2010-2019	-31	-32
USA/CAN	2010-2019	-33	-34
OTHERS	2010-2019	-35	-36
POL	2020-now	-37	-38
USA/CAN	2020-now	-39	-40
OTHERS	2020-now	-41	-42



#### **Difference in number of progeny for validation bulls**



RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Percent of missing parents per year divided by pedigree scenario and sex

