

Introduction

The latest routine international evaluation for workability traits took place as scheduled at the Interbull Centre. Data from eighteen (18) countries were included in this evaluation.

International genetic evaluations for workability traits of bulls from Austria-Germany, Canada, Denmark-Finland-Sweden, France, Great Britain, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, New Zealand, Slovenia, Japan, Switzerland, Poland, Czech Republic and Spain were computed. Brown Swiss, Holstein, Jersey and Red Dairy Cattle breed data were included in this evaluation.

Changes in national procedures

Changes in the national genetic evaluation of workability traits are as follows:

CHE (HOL,BSW)	Drops in information due to manual edits
NOR (RDC)	The rolling definition of effects redistribute the daughters and some bulls loose EDC.
AUS (HOL,JER,RDC)	Decreasing in information due to pedigree changes, changes in status of bull which leads to a good number of bulls no longer being qualified
JPN (HOL)	Some changes in proofs caused by additional records and in EDCs caused by modification of pedigree.
POL (HOL)	Drops in information due to data edits.
ITA (HOL)	Applied the yearly cut-off of data (lower time bound of the dataset used for the evaluation).Base change.
USA (ALL)	Drops in information for most traits are due to pedigree corrections and herd-year minimum edits.
NZL (BSW,GUE,HOL,JER,RDC)	Continuous DNA parentage testing affected daughter counts, herd count, EDCs, and reliabilities.
SVN (BSW,HOL,SIM)	Some bulls losing information (herds/daughters/EDC), due to changes in data base, related to the pedigree completeness as well as phenotypic data improvement.
ESP (HOL)	Change in base definition. Some Bulls lost some EDC, due to some daughters that were assigned as culled but did contribute more information now.
ITA (BSW)	For some traits, new information cause low correlation with previous evaluation for some years.
FRA (HOL)	The reliability from the singlestep is now used as a factor of the publication.

INTERBULL CHANGES COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS ROUTINE RUN

Post-processing Windows:

According to the decision taken by ITC in Orlando (2015) to review the post-processing windows every 5 years, during the 2020 the relative working group has been re-activated and new windows have been identified.

As before, the upper bounds have been set to 0.99 as these were judged to have very little effect on evaluations while the lower values have been reduced to the 10th percentile. This reduction would provide post-processed correlations to be closer to the real estimated ones. Over the past five years, in fact, the previous adopted lower value (25th percentile) had been found too high causing estimated and post-processed correlations to differ significantly from each other. The new lower values have been applied to all breeds and traits.

The weight assigned to the magnitude of the changes tested by each country has also been revised. The new weight will allow post-processed correlations to take more in consideration the value of the new estimated ones even when no changes are applied by the countries.

The new weights are as follows:

No changes :: 2
Small changes:: 1
Big changes :: 0

More information can be read on https://interbull.org/ib/rg_procedure

DATA AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Data were national genetic evaluations of AI sampled bulls with at least 10 daughters or 10 EDC (for clinical mastitis and maternal calving traits at least 50 daughters or 50 EDC, and for direct calving traits at least 50 calvings or 50 EDC) in at least 10 herds. Table 1 presents the amount of data included in this Interbull evaluation for all breeds.

National proofs were first de-regressed within country and then analysed jointly with a linear model including the effects of evaluation country, genetic group of bull and bull merit. Heritability estimates used in both the de-regression and international evaluation were as in each country's national evaluation.

Table 2 presents the date of evaluation as supplied by each country

Estimated genetic parameters and sire standard deviations are shown in APPENDIX I and the corresponding number of common bulls are listed in APPENDIX II.

SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE

The international genetic evaluation procedure is based on international work described in the following scientific publications:

International genetic evaluation computation:

Schaeffer. 1994. J. Dairy Sci. 77:2671-2678
Klei, 1998. Interbull Bulletin 17:3-7

Verification and Genetic trend validation:

Klei et al., 2002. Interbull Bulletin 29:178-182.
Boichard et al., 1995. J. Dairy Sci. 78:431-437

Weighting factors:

Fikse and Banos, 2001. J. Dairy Sci. 84:1759-1767

De-regression:

Sigurdsson and G. Banos. 1995. Acta Agric. Scand. 45:207-219
Jairath et al. 1998. J. Dairy Sci. Vol. 81:550-562

Genetic parameter estimation:

Klei and Weigel, 1998, Interbull Bulletin 17:8-14
Sullivan, 1999. Interbull Bulletin 22:146-148

Post-processing of estimated genetic correlations:

Mark et al., 2003, Interbull Bulletin 30:126-135
Jorjani et al., 2003. J. Dairy Sci. 86:677-679
<https://wiki.interbull.org/public/rG%20procedure?action=print>

Time edits

Weigel and Banos. 1997. J. Dairy Sci. 80:3425-3430

International reliability estimation

Harris and Johnson. 1998. Interbull Bulletin 17:31-36

NEXT ROUTINE INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for the next routine evaluation can be found on
<http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar>.

NEXT TEST INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for the next test run can be found on
<http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar>.

PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL ROUTINE RUN

Results were distributed by the Interbull Centre to designated representatives in each country. The international evaluation file comprised international proofs expressed on the base and unit of each country included in the analysis. Such records readily provide more information on bull performance in various countries, thereby minimizing the need to resort to conversions.

At the same time, all recipients of Interbull results are expected to honor the agreed code of practice, decided by the Interbull Steering Committee, and only publish international evaluations on their own country scale. Evaluations expressed on another country scale are confidential and may only be used internally for research and review purposes.

PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL TEST RUN

Test evaluation results are meant for review purposes only and should not be published.

^LTable 1. National evaluation data considered in the Interbull evaluation for Workability (August Routine Evaluation 2022).
Number of records for milking speed by breed

Country	BSW	GUE	HOL	JER	RDC	SIM
AUS			6541	1303	542	
BEL						
CAN	211		13020	763	858	
CHE	2854		2919	57		
CZE			1889			
DEA	4421					
DEU			13094		208	
DFS			12233	2031	6786	
ESP			3356			
EST						
FRA	388		17816			
FRM						
GBR			6145			
HUN						
IRL						
ISR						
ITA	2100		8442			
JPN			2068			
KOR						
LTU						
LVA						
NLD	116		13978	35		
NOR					3980	
NZL			6418	3976	516	
POL			8888			
PRT						
SVK						
SVN	330		625			
URY						
USA						
ZAF						
HRV						
CAM					35	
No. Records	10420		117432	8165	12925	
Pub. Proofs	8758	0	95268	7597	12481	0

^LAPPENDIX I. Sire standard deviations in diagonal and genetic correlations below diagonal

BSW	msp						
	CAN	CHE	DEA	ITA	NLD	SVN	FRA
CAN	9.25						
CHE	0.94	15.62					
DEA	0.91	0.96	11.71				

ITA	0.88	0.94	0.92	17.55			
NLD	0.93	0.95	0.93	0.88	5.80		
SVN	0.82	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.83	24.91	
FRA	0.93	0.93	0.86	0.88	0.95	0.81	0.82

HOL msp

	CAN	CHE	DEU	DFS	FRA	NLD	AUS	GBR	SVN	NZL	ITA	JPN	ESP	CZE	POL
CAN	7.61														
CHE	0.93	12.42													
DEU	0.89	0.96	12.56												
DFS	0.94	0.95	0.95	14.45											
FRA	0.95	0.98	0.94	0.96	1.07										
NLD	0.95	0.98	0.94	0.97	0.98	5.13									
AUS	0.83	0.84	0.79	0.81	0.85	0.84	0.25								
GBR	0.76	0.77	0.76	0.77	0.80	0.78	0.75	0.20							
SVN	0.71	0.81	0.84	0.80	0.79	0.81	0.70	0.73	23.35						
NZL	0.87	0.88	0.81	0.83	0.88	0.87	0.89	0.73	0.68	0.33					
ITA	0.76	0.83	0.81	0.83	0.84	0.84	0.71	0.61	0.75	0.72	5.75				
JPN	0.96	0.93	0.88	0.93	0.97	0.96	0.86	0.80	0.75	0.85	0.82	2.15			
ESP	0.94	0.93	0.90	0.93	0.95	0.95	0.82	0.75	0.75	0.83	0.80	0.94	13.66		
CZE	0.88	0.91	0.92	0.90	0.89	0.91	0.78	0.68	0.74	0.78	0.75	0.84	0.89	17.86	
POL	0.56	0.57	0.54	0.56	0.56	0.57	0.57	0.54	0.57	0.53	0.48	0.57	0.57	0.57	14.88

HOL tem

	CAN	CHE	DEU	DFS	FRA	NLD	AUS	GBR	NZL	ITA	JPN	POL
CAN	7.50											
CHE	0.68	10.33										
DEU	0.84	0.76	11.77									
DFS	0.77	0.84	0.87	13.09								
FRA	0.71	0.91	0.80	0.92	0.97							
NLD	0.86	0.76	0.90	0.86	0.81	5.48						
AUS	0.60	0.65	0.64	0.68	0.68	0.70	0.23					
GBR	0.61	0.80	0.67	0.77	0.84	0.69	0.62	0.16				
NZL	0.59	0.51	0.73	0.58	0.56	0.69	0.71	0.49	0.36			
ITA	0.13	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.15	0.09	0.11	0.10	5.75		
JPN	0.91	0.80	0.91	0.87	0.85	0.93	0.64	0.72	0.60	0.10	2.65	
POL	0.31	0.23	0.32	0.23	0.22	0.29	0.28	0.19	0.23	0.09	0.32	19.96

JER msp

	CAN	DFS	NLD	AUS	NZL	CHE
CAN	7.95					
DFS	0.90	13.82				
NLD	0.94	0.95	4.48			
AUS	0.76	0.77	0.85	0.24		
NZL	0.68	0.74	0.86	0.77	0.30	
CHE	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.80	0.76	11.71

RDC msp

	CAN	DEU	DFS	NOR	AUS	NZL	CAM
CAN	6.90						
DEU	0.87	11.45					
DFS	0.92	0.90	13.28				
NOR	0.79	0.75	0.95	14.71			
AUS	0.78	0.72	0.76	0.73	0.27		
NZL	0.85	0.78	0.85	0.79	0.84	0.38	
CAM	0.70	0.68	0.71	0.69	0.62	0.69	7.38

RDC tem

	CAN	DEU	DFS	NOR	AUS	NZL	CAM
--	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

JPN	152	79	119	84	119	121	110	121	44	142	0	349
POL	1131	368	1135	548	984	1163	381	828	102	1101	151	0

JER

common bulls below diagonal						
common three quarter sib group above diagonal						
	CAN	DFS	NLD	AUS	NZL	CHE
CAN	0	63	10	186	67	27
DFS	48	0	15	84	74	41
NLD	7	11	0	17	14	8
AUS	186	56	17	0	187	28
NZL	67	53	12	171	0	22
CHE	26	41	5	28	21	0

JER

RDC

common bulls below diagonal							
common three quarter sib group above diagonal							
	CAN	DEU	DFS	NOR	AUS	NZL	CAM
CAN	0	6	154	6	36	28	0
DEU	6	0	23	7	18	2	0
DFS	157	15	0	112	124	47	0
NOR	6	6	89	0	55	10	0
AUS	33	17	96	46	0	36	8
NZL	25	2	46	10	33	0	1
CAM	0	0	0	0	8	1	0

RDC

common bulls below diagonal							
common three quarter sib group above diagonal							
	CAN	DEU	DFS	NOR	AUS	NZL	CAM
CAN	0	8	127	6	36	27	0
DEU	8	0	42	11	22	4	0
DFS	129	36	0	109	124	47	0
NOR	6	11	86	0	54	9	0
AUS	33	22	96	45	0	36	8
NZL	25	4	46	9	33	0	1
CAM	0	0	0	0	8	1	0

SIM

SIM