

Introduction

The latest routine international evaluation for workability traits took place as scheduled at the Interbull Centre. Data from fourteen (15) countries were included in this evaluation.

International genetic evaluations for workability traits of bulls from Austria-Germany, Canada, Denmark-Finland-Sweden, France, Great Britain, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, New Zealand, Slovenia, Japan, Spain and Switzerland were computed. Brown Swiss, Holstein, Jersey and Red Dairy Cattle breed data were included in this evaluation.

Changes in national procedures

Changes in the national genetic evaluation of workability traits are as follows:

NOR (RDC) The rolling definition of hys is causing the daughters to distribute somewhat differently over hys-classes at each evaluation. Therefore some bulls occasionally may lose EDC although the number of daughters stay the same.

DEU (ALL) Base change, Performance data: phenotypic data from 2000 onwards, Pedigree: sire and maternal grandsire of animals having own performance must be known, Breed: breed of animal having own performance must be consistent with the breeds of parents

JPN (HOL) First time with msp, small decrease in information due to additional records and modification of pedigree.

GBR (HOL) Base change from 2004/2005 to 2014/2015 affecting means and standard deviation

NZL (ALL) Results based on brand new models which are based on the most recent version of LICâ s genetic evaluation software based on a multiple trait models. The new multiple traits have caused a general drop in reliability. It contains a number of enhancements which result in more accurate genetic evaluations and reduces the time taken to compute genetic evaluations. Implementation of Parent Average Adjustment (PAA), changes to the daughter count for all traits. When the single trait models are combined into a multi trait BV the single trait daughter count that was the greatest (which is always the 2 year old daughter count) was taken into account. The old routine for Fertility and longevity were based on having a record for that trait or a production record, this is now change so that it is a count of that particular trait.

NLD (ALL) Base change, now the cows born in 2015 are the base (it was 2010)

AUS (ALL) Changed the method for calculation of reliabilities, Pedigree corrections based on genotype information has caused drops in information

SVN (ALL) Until now the EBV were limited to the interval(52, 148). We used to reduce all EBV greater than 147 to not exceed 148. We reduced all EBv smaller than 53 to be no less than 52. From now on, we no longer apply such restriction to EBVs.

ITA (HOL) Base change plus cut off of 1 year of data causing decreases in information.

ITA (BSW) Base change applied a rolling base including a cow born between 15 an 12 year before the evaluation date.

CHE (ALL) Base change. HOL: MiX99 is now used solution of the equation system and ApaX for the approximation of the reliabilities. Slight changes in number of daughters, number of herds and EDC are due to manual data edits in the database. The change of herd-year-season assignment of certain data records might also explain small changes in EDC and reliabilities for certain bulls. BSW: few bulls missing in this evaluation due to change in status of bulls.

NLD (ALL) Base change

DEA (BSW) Substantial number of bulls whose EDC decreased. In the data preparation there was an update with respect to the definition of herd-year-season classes for the trait milking speed subjectively scored. The checking on the definition has been overlooked for some time. Mainly bulls with considerable numbers of daughters for this trait are affected.

CAN (ALL) Base change

FRA (ALL) Base change

GBR (ALL) Drops in daughters due to minor data editings. For RDC some daughters of these bulls were duplicated with some of them having eartag numbers and herd book numbers. Data providers have now correctly eliminated the eartag numbers of these cows, so that only the herd-book numbers are now included. Base change

INTERBULL CHANGES COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS ROUTINE RUN

Subsetting:

As decided by the ITC in Orlando, new subsetting was introduced in the september test run. Sub-setting is necessary for operational purposes and restrictions of time scales. To minimize the effect of subsetting, larger subsets with 10-12 countries and with 4 link providing countries have been applied.

Window:

According to the decision taken by ITC in Orlando, the following changes have been introduced in regards to the windows used for post processing:

The upper bounds have been set to 0.99 as these were judged to have

very little effect on evaluations. The lower values have been set to about the 25% percentile value. The largest changes are for the lower values for conformation traits, with the lowest window being 40% for OFL otherwise it is about 50% for all other confirmation traits. It is anticipated that these low values may not have large impact on evaluations since there were very few countries combinations whose estimated correlations fell between the old limit of 0.30 and these new limits.

The window so far applied for MAS evaluation have been found too high compared to the within-country genetic correlation between mastitis and SCS available from the literature. It has been an ITC recommendation to adjust the windows for MAS in this test run to make them more in line with the values available from the literature. The recommendation has been approved by the Steering committee. Also, according to the decision taken by ITC in Orlando (2015) to review all windows every five (5) years, an overall review of the windows for all traits will take place during the first half of 2020 with the aim of implementation set for the September 2020 test run.

DATA AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Data were national genetic evaluations of AI sampled bulls with at least 10 daughters or 10 EDC (for clinical mastitis and maternal calving traits at least 50 daughters or 50 EDC, and for direct calving traits at least 50 calvings or 50 EDC) in at least 10 herds. Table 1 presents the amount of data included in this Interbull evaluation for all breeds.

National proofs were first de-regressed within country and then analysed jointly with a linear model including the effects of evaluation country, genetic group of bull and bull merit. Heritability estimates used in both the de-regression and international evaluation were as in each country's national evaluation.

Table 2 presents the date of evaluation as supplied by each country

Estimated genetic parameters and sire standard deviations are shown in APPENDIX I and the corresponding number of common bulls are listed in APPENDIX II.

SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE

The international genetic evaluation procedure is based on international work described in the following scientific publications:

International genetic evaluation computation:
Schaeffer. 1994. J. Dairy Sci. 77:2671-2678
Klei, 1998. Interbull Bulletin 17:3-7

Verification and Genetic trend validation:
Klei et al., 2002. Interbull Bulletin 29:178-182.
Boichard et al., 1995. J. Dairy Sci. 78:431-437

Weighting factors:
Fikse and Banos, 2001. J. Dairy Sci. 84:1759-1767

De-regression:
Sigurdsson and G. Banos. 1995. Acta Agric. Scand. 45:207-219
Jairath et al. 1998. J. Dairy Sci. Vol. 81:550-562

Genetic parameter estimation:
Klei and Weigel, 1998, Interbull Bulletin 17:8-14
Sullivan, 1999. Interbull Bulletin 22:146-148

Post-processing of estimated genetic correlations:
Mark et al., 2003, Interbull Bulletin 30:126-135
Jorjani et al., 2003. J. Dairy Sci. 86:677-679
<https://wiki.interbull.org/public/rG%20procedure?action=print>

Time edits

Weigel and Banos. 1997. J. Dairy Sci. 80:3425-3430

International reliability estimation

Harris and Johnson. 1998. Interbull Bulletin 17:31-36

NEXT ROUTINE INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for the next routine evaluation can be found on

<http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar>.

NEXT TEST INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for the next test run can be found on

<http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar>.

PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL ROUTINE RUN

Results were distributed by the Interbull Centre to designated representatives in each country. The international evaluation file comprised international proofs expressed on the base and unit of each country included in the analysis. Such records readily provide more information on bull performance in various countries, thereby minimizing the need to resort to conversions.

At the same time, all recipients of Interbull results are expected to honor the agreed code of practice, decided by the Interbull Steering Committee, and only publish international evaluations on their own country scale. Evaluations expressed on another country scale are confidential and may only be used internally for research and review purposes.

PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL TEST RUN

Test evaluation results are meant for review purposes only and should not be published.

^LTable 1. National evaluation data considered in the Interbull evaluation for Workability (April Routine Evaluation 2020).

Number of records for milking speed by breed

Country	BSW	GUE	HOL	JER	RDC	SIM
AUS			6320	1241	512	
BEL						
CAN	191		12294	695	823	
CHE	2713		3145	52		
CZE						
DEA	4175					
DEU			17139		244	
DFS			11821	1969	6589	
ESP			2916			
EST						
FRA	348		16814			
FRM						
GBR			5725			
HUN						
IRL						
ISR						
ITA	1997		6694			
JPN			1644			

ITA	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	6.99	
JPN	0.92	0.81	0.90	0.87	0.85	0.94	0.70	0.71	0.70	0.70	2.36

 JER msp

	CAN	DFS	NLD	AUS	NZL	CHE	
CAN	8.00						
DFS	0.91	13.70					
NLD	0.95	0.96	4.22				
AUS	0.85	0.86	0.89	0.25			
NZL	0.87	0.86	0.90	0.86	0.32		
CHE	0.93	0.95	0.97	0.87	0.88	11.97	

 RDC msp

	CAN	DEU	DFS	NOR	AUS	NZL	CAM
CAN	7.03						
DEU	0.91	9.60					
DFS	0.93	0.93	13.36				
NOR	0.90	0.88	0.98	14.92			
AUS	0.86	0.86	0.85	0.86	0.28		
NZL	0.90	0.88	0.88	0.91	0.89	0.40	
CAM	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.88	0.90	7.86

 RDC tem

	CAN	DEU	DFS	NOR	AUS	NZL	CAM
CAN	6.43						
DEU	0.82	10.03					
DFS	0.73	0.80	11.11				
NOR	0.76	0.72	0.92	17.08			
AUS	0.70	0.71	0.70	0.71	0.26		
NZL	0.71	0.73	0.72	0.72	0.80	0.44	
CAM	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.72	0.73	7.01

^LAPPENDIX II. Number of common bulls

BSW

 common bulls below diagonal
 common three quarter sib group above diagonal

	CAN	CHE	DEA	ITA	NLD	SVN	FRA
CAN	0	103	111	105	36	26	69
CHE	87	0	541	424	58	53	150
DEA	96	457	0	584	83	74	184
ITA	90	364	484	0	76	70	165
NLD	30	55	74	60	0	27	51
SVN	23	52	69	69	26	0	40
FRA	59	111	135	127	41	38	0

BSW

GUE

GUE

HOL

 common bulls below diagonal
 common three quarter sib group above diagonal

	CAN	CHE	DEU	DFS	FRA	NLD	AUS	GBR	SVN	NZL	ITA	JPN
--	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

```

-----
CAN      0  797 1766 1122 1310 1239  967 1457  166  377 1415  328
CHE 663    0  882  551  547  727  440  639  109  236  581  117
DEU 1031  693    0 1768 1783 2095  923 1518  247  382 1705  326
DFS 800   465  954    0 1349 1538  850 1229  188  433 1053  187
FRA 730   455  712  549    0 1641  925 1325  152  465 1209  279
NLD 1111  692 1420 1120  833    0 1004 1455  203  562 1195  223
AUS 828   356  549  466  505  770    0  956  116  591  672  147
GBR 1510  612  966  812  724 1178  711    0  179  456 1213  233
SVN 127    80  233  145  105  179   79  136    0   56  188   63
NZL 343   198  267  267  231  504  464  357   42    0  291   73
ITA 1153  509  936  757  616  940  491 1003  157  242    0  323
JPN 119    66  100   88   84   98   90   98   27   48  101    0
-----

```

```

HOL
-----
common bulls below diagonal
common three quarter sib group above diagonal
      CAN  CHE  DEU  DFS  FRA  NLD  AUS  GBR  NZL  ITA  JPN
-----
CAN      0  709 1494  909 1179 1184  935 1426  366 1412  328
CHE  580    0  675  419  494  596  387  587  211  540  116
DEU  816  509    0 1297 1520 1800  806 1311  325 1508  294
DFS  568  331  598    0 1184 1247  781 1073  405  899  140
FRA  721  412  619  467    0 1535  871 1271  431 1207  279
NLD 1060  562 1139  751  802    0  996 1440  556 1184  223
AUS  805  322  450  381  502  762    0  958  590  672  147
GBR 1484  546  789  620  720 1169  710    0  453 1213  233
NZL  335  179  225  230  229  497  463  356    0  291   73
ITA 1145  470  801  603  615  924  491 1004  242    0  322
JPN  119    66   93   63   84   98   90   98   48  101    0
-----

```

```

JER
-----
common bulls below diagonal
common three quarter sib group above diagonal
      CAN  DFS  NLD  AUS  NZL  CHE
-----
CAN      0   59   9  162   68  22
DFS  45    0  13   76   77  40
NLD   7    9   0   15   13   7
AUS 163   49  16   191  25
NZL  69   55  12  176   0  24
CHE  20   39   4   24   22   0
-----

```

```

JER
-----
RDC
-----
common bulls below diagonal
common three quarter sib group above diagonal
      CAN  DEU  DFS  NOR  AUS  NZL  CAM
-----
CAN      0   9  129   6   36  33   0
DEU     9   0   39  10   22   5   0
DFS  130  31   0  106  112  56   0
NOR    6  10  82   0   51  11   0
AUS   33  22  86  42   0  38   8
NZL   30   5  53  10   35   0   2
CAM    0   0   0   0    8   2   0
-----

```

```

RDC
-----
common bulls below diagonal
common three quarter sib group above diagonal
      CAN  DEU  DFS  NOR  AUS  NZL  CAM
-----

```

CAN	0	8	111	5	35	32	0
DEU	8	0	35	10	21	5	0
DFS	111	29	0	100	112	56	0
NOR	5	10	76	0	48	10	0
AUS	32	21	86	39	0	38	8
NZL	30	5	53	9	35	0	2
CAM	0	0	0	0	8	2	0

SIM

SIM