#### Introduction

The latest routine international evaluation for workability traits took place as scheduled at the Interbull Centre. Data from sixteen (16) countries were included in this evaluation.

International genetic evaluations for workability traits of bulls from Austria-Germany, Canada, Denmark-Finland-Sweden, France, Great Britain, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, New Zealand, Slovenia, Japan, Switzerland and Spain were computed. Brown Swiss, Holstein, Jersey and Red Dairy Cattle breed data were included in this evaluation.

# Changes in national procedures

Changes ir	n the	national	genetic	evaluation	of	workability	traits	are	as
follows:									

AUS (HOL,JER,RDC)	Some decrease in daughters and EDC due to data editing
NOR (RDC)	Delivered RBV's for all traits. The scaling is according to a rolling base that change somewhat at each evaluation. Therefore percentage changes in sire standard deviations between evaluations may solely
	be due to changes in the standard deviation in the rolling base.
JPN (HOL)	Some changes in proofs caused by additional records and in EDCs caused by modification of pedigree.
ITA (HOL)	Drop of information due to a one-year cut off
NZL (ALL)	Drops in information due to continuous DNA parenting testing
ESP (HOL)	First time

# INTERBULL CHANGES COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS ROUTINE RUN

\_\_\_\_\_\_

# Subsetting:

As decided by the ITC in Orlando, new subsetting was introduced in the september test run. Sub-setting is necessary for operational purposes and restrictions of time scales. To minimize the effect of subsetting, larger subsets with 10-12 countries and with 4 link providing countries have been applied.

# Window:

According to the decision taken by ITC in Orlando, the following changes have been introduced in regards to the windows used for post processing:

The upper bounds have been set to 0.99 as these were judged to have very little effect on evaluations. The lower values have been set to about the 25% percentile value. The largest changes are for the lower values for conformation traits, with the lowest window being 40% for OFL otherwise it is about 50% for all other confirmation traits. It is anticipated that these low values may not have large impact on evaluations since there were very few countries combinations whose estimated correlations fell between the old limit of 0.30 and these new limits.

The window so far applied for MAS evaluation have been found too high compared to the within-country genetic correlation between mastitis and SCS available from the literature. It has been an ITC recommendation to adjust the windows for MAS in this test run to make them more in line with the values available from the literature. The recommendation has been approved by the Steering committee. Also, according to the decision taken by ITC in Orlando (2015) to review all windows every five (5) years, an overall review of the windows for all traits will take place during the first half of 2020 with the aim of implementation set for the September 2020 test run.

### DATA AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Data were national genetic evaluations of AI sampled bulls with at least 10 daughters or 10 EDC (for clinical mastitis and maternal calving traits at least 50 daughters or 50 EDC, and for direct calving traits at least 50 calvings or 50 EDC) in at least 10 herds. Table 1 presents the amount of data included in this Interbull evaluation for all breeds.

National proofs were first de-regressed within country and then analysed jointly with a linear model including the effects of evaluation country, genetic group of bull and bull merit. Heritability estimates used in both the de-regression and international evaluation were as in each country's national evaluation.

Table 2 presents the date of evaluation as supplied by each country

Estimated genetic parameters and sire standard deviations are shown in APPENDIX I and the corresponding number of common bulls are listed in APPENDIX II.

## SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE

The international genetic evaluation procedure is based on international work described in the following scientific publications:

International genetic evaluation computation: Schaeffer. 1994. J. Dairy Sci. 77:2671-2678 Klei, 1998. Interbull Bulletin 17:3-7

Verification and Genetic trend validation: Klei et al., 2002. Interbull Bulletin 29:178-182. Boichard et al., 1995. J. Dairy Sci. 78:431-437

Weighting factors:

Fikse and Banos, 2001. J. Dairy Sci. 84:1759-1767

De-regression:

Sigurdsson and G. Banos. 1995. Acta Agric. Scand. 45:207-219 Jairath et al. 1998. J. Dairy Sci. Vol. 81:550-562

Genetic parameter estimation:

Klei and Weigel, 1998, Interbull Bulletin 17:8-14 Sullivan, 1999. Interbull Bulletin 22:146-148

Post-processing of estimated genetic correlations:
Mark et al., 2003, Interbull Bulletin 30:126-135
Jorjani et al., 2003. J. Dairy Sci. 86:677-679
https://wiki.interbull.org/public/rG%20procedure?action=print

Time edits

Weigel and Banos. 1997. J. Dairy Sci. 80:3425-3430

International reliability estimation
Harris and Johnson. 1998. Interbull Bulletin 17:31-36

NEXT ROUTINE INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for the next routine evaluation can be found on

http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar.

NEXT TEST INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for the next test man see he found on

Dates for the next test run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar.

# PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL ROUTINE RUN

Results were distributed by the Interbull Centre to designated representatives in each country. The international evaluation file comprised international proofs expressed on the base and unit of each country included in the analysis. Such records readily provide more information on bull performance in various countries, thereby minimizing the need to resort to conversions.

At the same time, all recipients of Interbull results are expected to honor the agreed code of practice, decided by the Interbull Steering Committee, and only publish international evaluations on their own country scale. Evaluations expressed on another country scale are confidential and may only be used internally for research and review purposes.

# PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL TEST RUN

-----

Test evaluation results are meant for review purposes only and should not be published.

^LTable 1. National evaluation data considered in the Interbull evaluation for Workability (August Routine Evaluation 2020).

Number of records for milking speed by breed

Country	BSW	GUE	HOL	JER	RDC	SIM
 AUS			6336	1255	518	
BEL						
CAN	193		12383	707	828	
CHE	2737		3177	52		
CZE						
DEA	4205					
DEU			17263		244	
OFS			11906	1979	6620	
ESP			3017			
EST						
FRA	356		16873			
FRM						
GBR			5777			
HUN						
IRL						
ISR						
ITA	2009		6596			
JPN			1729			
KOR						
LTU						
LVA						
NLD	111		13580	29		
NOR					3889	
NZL			6176	3884	588	
POL			00	5551		
PRT						
SVK						
SVN	310		526			
JRY						
JSA						
ZAF						
łRV						
MEX						
CAM					34	
========= No.Records	9921	========	 105339	 7906	12721	======

 Pub. Proofs
 8380
 0
 90359
 7360
 12201

^LAPPENDIX I. Sire standard deviations in diagonal and genetic correlations below diagonal

BSW	msp												
CAN	CAN 8.53	CHE	DEA	ITA	NLD	SVN	FRA						
CHE	0.94	15.63											
DEA	0.91	0.96	11.71										
ITA	0.92	0.95	0.93	17.65									
NLD	0.94	0.96	0.94	0.93	5.84								
SVN	0.87	0.91	0.91	0.94	0.88	24.93							
FRA	0.94	0.93	0.86	0.90	0.96	0.86	0.84						
HOL	msp												
C 7 3 T	CAN	CHE	DEU	DFS	FRA	NLD	AUS	GBR	SVN	NZL	ITA	JPN	ESP
CAN	7.64	10 61											
CHE	0.92	12.61	11 00										
DEU DFS	0.91 0.94	0.98 0.95	11.82 0.97	14.44									
FRA	0.94	0.95	0.97	0.96	1.08								
NLD	0.94	0.98	0.96	0.96	0.98	5.10							
AUS	0.86	0.98	0.85	0.97	0.98	0.87	0.26						
GBR	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.20	0.20					
SVN	0.85	0.86	0.83	0.85	0.85	0.86	0.86	0.20	23.76				
NZL	0.90	0.80	0.87	0.86	0.83	0.80	0.93	0.85	0.86	0.36			
ITA	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.94	0.96	0.95	0.85	0.85	0.85		7.03		
JPN	0.97	0.94	0.92	0.95	0.97	0.97	0.89	0.85	0.86	0.91	0.95	2.12	
ESP	0.95	0.94	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.96	0.86	0.85	0.85	0.89	0.94	0.96	13.76
	tem												
	CAN	CHE	DEU	DFS	FRA	NLD	AUS	GBR	NZL	ITA	JPN		
CAN	7.11												
CHE		10.76	11 00										
DEU	0.84	0.77	11.90	12 12									
DFS	0.78	0.83	0.87	13.13	0 07								
FRA	0.71	0.91	0.80	0.92	0.97	Г 40							
NLD	0.86	0.76	0.89	0.86	0.81	5.49	0 22						
AUS GBR	0.70 0.70	0.70 0.80	0.70 0.70	0.70 0.78	0.70	0.72	0.23	0 16					
NZL	0.70	0.80	0.70	0.78	0.85 0.70	0.70 0.71	0.70 0.75	0.16 0.70	0.37				
ITA	0.70	0.70	0.74	0.70	0.70	0.71	0.75	0.70	0.70	7.03			
JPN	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	2.31		
											2,02		
JER	msp												
	CAN	DFS	NLD	AUS	NZL	CHE							
CAN	8.02												
DFS	0.91	13.66											
NLD	0.95	0.96	4.22										
AUS	0.85	0.86	0.89	0.24									
NZL	0.87	0.86	0.90		0.32								
CHE	0.93	0.95	0.97	0.87	0.88	11.85							
 RDC	msp												

	CAI		EU	DFS	N	IOR	AUS		NZL	CA	M				
CAN DEU	6.9 0.9		48												
DFS	0.9	3 0.	93	13.39	1.4	0.0									
NOR AUS	0.9		88 86	0.98 0.85	14. 0.		0.27								
NZL	0.9			0.88			0.89		.40	7 0	. –				
CAM	0.9	) 0.	90	0.90	0.	90	0.88	Ü	.90	7.8	55				
 RDC	tem					. – – – -					. – – – -		 		
CAN	CAI 6.4:		EU	DFS	N	IOR	AUS		NZL	CA	M				
DEU	0.8	29.	82												
DFS	0.7			11.09											
NOR	0.7			0.92	16.		0 05								
AUS NZL	0.7 0.7			0.70 0.72			0.25		.44						
CAM	0.7			0.74		74	0.72		).73	7.0	12				
0111		-	, -	• • • •	•		•••	J			_				
^T,APPF	NDIX II	 . Numbe	r of	 commor	 n bull	 S					. – – – -		 	 	
BSW									. – – – -				 	 	
	on bulls		_		a abou	. 4:	acana l								
COMMIC	n three CAN C						agonar								
CAN		04 113				69									
CHE	88				58	151									
DEA		59 0				187									
ITA NLD		57 485 55 76			73 28	165 51									
SVN		55 74				43									
FRA		11 135				0									
BSW															
 GUE															
GUE 															
HOL															
commo	n bulls	below	diago	nal											
	n three	quarte	er sib	group											
	CAN C	HE DEU	J DFS	FRA	NLD	AUS	GBR	SVN	NZL	ITA	JPN	ESP			
CAN	0 8:	 12 1790	1147	 1325	 1267	971	 1475	 174	378	 1436	341	 1007			
CHE	678	0 895			737	445	649	114	236		125	437			
				1790			1546	263		1678		1052			
DFS		74 981		1356			1240	198		1022	199	670			
FRA		58 715			1651		1335	158		1169	289	818			
AUS		)3 1447 58 555			771	1008	960	214 119		1179 642	236 154	748 496			
		17 983			1197	716	0	189		1200	246	772			
SVN		33 246			186	80	144	0	58	193	71	149			
NZL	343 1	98 268	269	231	504	466	356	44	0	274	76	226			
		14 945			946			165	231	0	338	918			
JPN		59 109			104	98	104	31	51	111	0	276			
ESP 	571 3	08 517 	439	518 	563 	317	531	107	164	576 	90	0 			
HOL	·		· - <b></b>				<b></b>	· = <b></b>			<b>-</b> -				

HOL

```
common bulls below diagonal
common three quarter sib group above diagonal
    CAN CHE DEU DFS FRA NLD AUS GBR NZL ITA JPN
______
     0 724 1514 928 1192 1205 939 1441 366 1429 341
 CHE 595 0 691 435 501 605 392 597 211 550 124
 DEU 832 522 0 1328 1526 1822 810 1334 325 1490 303
 DFS 586 340 625 0 1197 1278 791 1088 408 861
 FRA 733 415 624 475 0 1544 874 1280 431 1166 289
 NLD 1082 573 1160 778 809 0 1000 1456 556 1161 236
 AUS 811 324 454 390 504 763 0 962 592 641 154
 GBR 1504 551 803 632 729 1190 715 0 453 1198 246
 NZL 335 179 225 234 229 497 465 355 0 273 76
 ITA 1190 479 811 594 610 928 480 1014 231 0 337
 JPN 128 69 101 71 92 104 98 104 51 111 0
JER
_____
common bulls below diagonal
common three quarter sib group above diagonal
    CAN DFS NLD AUS NZL CHE
 ______
    0 59 9 165 69 22
 DFS 45 0 13 77 77 40
 NLD 7 9 0 15 13 7
 AUS 166 50 16 0 192 25
 NZL 70 55 12 177 0 24
 CHE 20 39 4 24 22 0
JER
_____
RDC
common bulls below diagonal
common three quarter sib group above diagonal
    CAN DEU DFS NOR AUS NZL CAM
     0 9 134 6 36 33 0
    9 0 39 10 23 5
 DFS 134 31 0 109 114 56
 NOR 6 10 85 0 51 11
 AUS 33 23 87 42 0 38
 NZL 30 5 53 10 35 0
 CAM 0 0 0 0 8 2
common bulls below diagonal
common three quarter sib group above diagonal
    CAN DEU DFS NOR AUS NZL CAM
 ______
     0 8 116 6 36 32 0
    8 0 35 10 22 5
 DFS 116 29 0 104 114 56
 NOR 6 10 80 0 48 10
 AUS 33 22 87 39 0 38
 NZL 30 5 53 9 35 0
                          2
        0 0 0 8 2
                         0
```

RDC SIM \_\_\_\_\_ SIM-----