Introduction

The latest routine international evaluation for workability traits took place as scheduled at the Interbull Centre. Data from eighteen (18) countries were included in this evaluation.

International genetic evaluations for workability traits of bulls from Austria-Germany, Canada, Denmark-Finland-Sweden, France, Great Britain, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, New Zealand, Slovenia, Japan, Switzerland, Poland, Czech Republic and Spain were computed. Brown Swiss, Holstein, Jersey and Red Dairy Cattle breed data were included in this evaluation.

Changes in national procedures

Changes in the national genetic evaluation of workability traits are as follows:

AUS	(ALL)	Base change
SVN	(ALL)	Small decrease in inromation due to changes in data base related to the pedigree completness as well as phenotypic data improvement.
DFS	(ALL)	Updated our pedigree program used for genetic evaluation. The effect is minor.
POL	(HOL)	Decrease of information due to data edits. First time for work.
JPN	(HOL)	Base change, now the cows born in 2015 are the base. Some changes in information due to pedigree verification
BEL	(HOL)	Base change now set to cows born in 2015
GBR	(ALL)	Changes in information due to changes from data recording agents
NZL	(ALL)	Changes in information due to continuous pedigree verification
CZE	(HOL)	First time with msp

INTERBULL CHANGES COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS ROUTINE RUN

Subsetting:

As decided by the ITC in Orlando, new subsetting was introduced in the september test run. Sub-setting is necessary for operational purposes and restrictions of time scales. To minimize the effect of subsetting, larger subsets with 10-12 countries and with 4 link providing countries have been applied.

Window:

According to the decision taken by ITC in Orlando, the following changes have been introduced in regards to the windows used for post processing:

The upper bounds have been set to 0.99 as these were judged to have very little effect on evaluations. The lower values have been set to about the 25% percentile value. The largest changes are for the lower values for conformation traits, with the lowest window being 40% for OFL otherwise it is about 50% for all other confirmation traits. It is anticipated that these low values may not have large impact on evaluations since there were very few countries combinations whose estimated correlations fell between the old limit of 0.30 and these new limits.

The window so far applied for MAS evaluation have been found too high compared to the within-country genetic correlation between mastitis and SCS available from the literature.

It has been an ITC recommendation to adjust the windows for MAS in the 2001t test run to make them more in line with the values available from the literature. The recommendation has been approved by the Steering committee.

Also, according to the decision taken by ITC in Orlando (2015) to review all windows every five (5) years, an overall review of the windows for all traits will take place during the first half of 2020. Implementation of the reviewed windows is aimed for January 2021 test run.

DATA AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Data were national genetic evaluations of AI sampled bulls with at least

10 daughters or 10 EDC (for clinical mastitis and maternal calving traits at least 50 daughters or 50 EDC, and for direct calving traits at least 50 calvings or 50 EDC) in at least 10 herds. Table 1 presents the amount of data included in this Interbull evaluation for all breeds.

National proofs were first de-regressed within country and then analysed jointly with a linear model including the effects of evaluation country, genetic group of bull and bull merit. Heritability estimates used in both the de-regression and international evaluation were as in each country's national evaluation.

Table 2 presents the date of evaluation as supplied by each country

Estimated genetic parameters and sire standard deviations are shown in APPENDIX I and the corresponding number of common bulls are listed in APPENDIX II.

SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE

The international genetic evaluation procedure is based on international work described in the following scientific publications:

International genetic evaluation computation: Schaeffer. 1994. J. Dairy Sci. 77:2671-2678 Klei, 1998. Interbull Bulletin 17:3-7

Verification and Genetic trend validation: Klei et al., 2002. Interbull Bulletin 29:178-182. Boichard et al., 1995. J. Dairy Sci. 78:431-437

Weighting factors:

Fikse and Banos, 2001. J. Dairy Sci. 84:1759-1767

De-regression:

Sigurdsson and G. Banos. 1995. Acta Agric. Scand. 45:207-219 Jairath et al. 1998. J. Dairy Sci. Vol. 81:550-562

Genetic parameter estimation:

Klei and Weigel, 1998, Interbull Bulletin 17:8-14 Sullivan, 1999. Interbull Bulletin 22:146-148

Post-processing of estimated genetic correlations:
Mark et al., 2003, Interbull Bulletin 30:126-135
Jorjani et al., 2003. J. Dairy Sci. 86:677-679
https://wiki.interbull.org/public/rG%20procedure?action=print

Time edits

Weigel and Banos. 1997. J. Dairy Sci. 80:3425-3430

International reliability estimation

Harris and Johnson. 1998. Interbull Bulletin 17:31-36

NEXT ROUTINE INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for the next routine evaluation can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar.

NEXT TEST INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

orter for the pout test run can be found on

Dates for the next test run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar.

PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL ROUTINE RUN

Results were distributed by the Interbull Centre to designated

representatives in each country. The international evaluation file comprised international proofs expressed on the base and unit of each country included in the analysis. Such records readily provide more information on bull performance in various countries, thereby minimizing the need to resort to conversions.

At the same time, all recipients of Interbull results are expected to honor the agreed code of practice, decided by the Interbull Steering Committee, and only publish international evaluations on their own country scale. Evaluations expressed on another country scale are confidential and may only be used internally for research and review purposes.

PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL TEST RUN

Test evaluation results are meant for review purposes only and should not be published.

^LTable 1. National evaluation data considered in the Interbull evaluation for Workability (December Routine Evaluation 2020).

Number of records for milking speed by breed

	BSW	GUE	HOL	JER	RDC	SIM
AUS			6367	1266	523	
BEL						
CAN	198		12519	716	834	
CHE	2750		3208	53		
CZE			1723			
DEA	4238					
DEU			17431		248	
DFS			11925	1990	6645	
ESP			3107			
EST						
FRA	364		16952			
FRM						
GBR			5868			
HUN						
IRL						
ISR						
ITA	2021		6744			
JPN			1802			
KOR						
LTU						
LVA						
NLD	112		13643	33		
NOR					3907	
NZL			6391	3976	591	
POL			7695			
PRT						
SVK						
SVN	312		545			
URY						
USA						
ZAF						
HRV						
MEX						
CAM					34	
No.Records	9995		 115920	8034	12782	
Pub. Proofs	8435	0	97546	7484	12250	0

^LAPPENDIX I. Sire standard deviations in diagonal and genetic correlations below diagonal

BSW	msp														
	CAN	CHE	DEA	ITA	NLD	SVN	FRA								
CAN	8.57														
CHE	0.95	15.64													
DEA	0.91	0.96	11.70	1											
ITA	0.92	0.95	0.93	17.62	E 0E										
NLD SVN	0.94 0.88	0.96 0.91	0.94 0.91	0.93 0.94	5.85 0.88	25.11									
FRA	0.94	0.93	0.86	0.90	0.96	0.86	0.84								
HOL	msp														
	CAN	CHE	DEU	DFS	FRA	NLD	AUS	GBR	SVN	NZL	ITA	JPN	ESP	CZE	POL
CAN	7.64														
CHE	0.92	12.59													
DEU	0.90	0.97	11.83	1 4 4 4											
DFS FRA	0.94 0.94	0.95 0.98	0.96 0.95	14.44 0.96	1.07										
NLD	0.94	0.98	0.97	0.97	0.98	5.10									
AUS	0.86	0.87	0.85	0.85	0.88	0.87	0.26								
GBR	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.19							
SVN	0.85	0.86	0.87	0.85	0.85	0.86	0.86	0.85	23.80						
NZL	0.90	0.91	0.87	0.86	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.85	0.86	0.36					
ITA	0.94	0.94	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.95	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.88	6.90				
JPN	0.97	0.94	0.91	0.94	0.97	0.97	0.89	0.85	0.86	0.91	0.95	2.17	10.00		
ESP CZE	0.95 0.88	0.94 0.92	0.91 0.93	0.94 0.90	0.96 0.91	0.96 0.91	0.86 0.86	0.85 0.85	0.85 0.86	0.88 0.86	0.94 0.85	0.96 0.86	13.68 0.90	18.89	
POL	0.85	0.92	0.93	0.90	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.86	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	15.27
101	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.05	13.27
HOL	tem														
	CAN	CHE	DEU	DFS	FRA	NLD	AUS	GBR	NZL	ITA	JPN	POL			
CAN	7.11														
CHE	0.70	10.73	11 00												
DEU	0.84	0.77	11.88	10 14											
DFS FRA	0.77 0.71	0.84 0.91	0.87 0.80	13.14 0.92	0.97										
NLD	0.86	0.76	0.89	0.86	0.81	5.49									
AUS	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.72	0.23								
GBR	0.70	0.80	0.70	0.78	0.85	0.70	0.70	0.16							
NZL	0.70	0.70	0.74	0.70	0.70	0.71	0.75	0.70	0.36						
ITA	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	6.90					
JPN	0.91	0.80	0.91	0.87	0.85	0.94	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	2.66	20 22			
POL	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	20.32			
JER	msp														
_ 	CAN	DFS	NLD	AUS	NZL	CHE				_					
CAN	8.06	~													
DFS	0.92	13.67													
NLD	0.95	0.96	4.68												
AUS	0.85	0.86	0.89	0.24	0 00										
NZL	0.87	0.86	0.89	0.86	0.32	11 70									
CHE	0.93	0.95	0.97	0.87	0.88	11.70									
RDC	msp														
	CAN	DEU	DFS	NOR	AUS	NZL	CAM								
CAN	7.01														
DEU	0.90	9.50	10.00												
DFS	0.93	0.93	13.38	14 00											
NOR AUS	0.90 0.86	0.89 0.86	0.98 0.85	14.90 0.86	0.27										
NZL	0.89	0.88	0.88	0.00	0.27	0.40									
- 4	0.00	3.00	0.00	J • J ±	3.03	3.10									

DFS 590 342 630 0 1202 1279 796 1091 409 867 159 742

FRA	745	419	640	480	0	1552	882	1293	434	1184	294	1066	
NLD	1098	584	1185	780	817	0	1012	1471	560	1180	248	1016	
AUS	824	334	460	394	508	772	0	976	600	654	158	457	
GBR	1538	563	815	636	744	1209	722	0	458	1222	256	835	
NZL	340	182	228	234	232	500	472	358	0	278	77	168	
ITA	1239	494	840	602	628	953	488	1041	235	0	357	1037	
JPN	133	71	104	73	93	107	98	108	52	117	0	304	
POL	885	272	778	462	596	817	299	638	120	790	125	0	

JER

common bulls below diagonal common three quarter sib group above diagonal

CAN DFS NLD AUS NZL CHE CAN 0 61 10 169 70 23 DFS 47 0 14 79 78 41 NLD 7 10 0 16 14 8 AUS 168 52 16 0 192 26 NZL 71 56 12 177 0 25 CHE 21 40 5 24 23 0 _____

JER

RDC

common bulls below diagonal

common three quarter sib group above diagonal

	_	-1		-	J I			-
	CAN	DEU	DFS	NOR	AUS	NZL	CAM	
CAN	0	9	134	6	 36	33	0	
DEU	9	0	40	10	23	5	0	
DFS	135	32	0	109	115	56	0	
NOR	6	10	86	0	52	11	0	
AUS	33	23	88	43	0	38	8	
NZL	30	5	53	10	35	0	2	
CAM	0	0	0	0	8	2	0	

common bulls below diagonal

common three quarter sib group above diagonal CAN DEU DFS NOR AUS NZL CAM

	CAN	DEO	DFS	NOR	AUS	ΝΔL	CAM
CAN	0	Ω	118	6	36	32	
DEU	8		36		22	5 5	0
DEC	118	30		105		56	0
NOR	6	10	81	0		10	0
AUS	33	22	88	40	0	38	8
NZL	30	5		9		0	2
CAM	0	0	0	0	8	2	0